

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Castel del Monte

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

398rev



#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1996

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Castel del Monte	41.085 / 16.271	3.1	10847.3	10850.4	1996
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>3.1</b>	<b>10847.3</b>	<b>10850.4</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Castel del Monte inscribed property	26/02/2009	
Castel del Monte inscribed property and its buffer zone	26/02/2009	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi  
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali  
Funzionario  
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Anna Vella  
Superintendence for architectural heritage and landscape for the provinces of Bari and Foggia - MIBAC  
Direttore di Castel del Monte

#### Comment

Superintendence for architectural heritage and landscape for the provinces of Bari and Foggia - MIBAC Anna Vella Direttore di Castel del Monte Castello Svevo - Piazza Federico II di Svevia 4 70122 Bari Italy Telephone: +39 080 5286238 Fax: +39 080 5245540 Email: sbap-ba.casteldelmonte@beniculturali.it

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [www.casteldelmonte.org/](http://www.casteldelmonte.org/)

#### Comment

[www.casteldelmonte.beniculturali.it](http://www.casteldelmonte.beniculturali.it)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

no one

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property






















### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

no one

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.1 Buildings and Development</b>		
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	 	
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	 	
<b>3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>		
3.7.2 Relative humidity	   	
<b>3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>		
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	    	
<b>Legend</b>	 Current	 Potential
	 Negative	 Positive
	 Inside	 Outside

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
<b>3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
3.7.2 <b>Relative humidity</b>	restricted	on-going	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
<b>3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.6 <b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	restricted	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

no one.

### 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

#### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

##### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

##### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

##### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

##### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

no one.

#### 4.2. Protective Measures

##### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The monument was acquired by the Italian State in 1876 and is protected under several state laws. Law n° 1089/39 concerns the artistic, archeological, and cultural heritage of the Italian State. Decree of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities in 1978 declares the monument to be of great artistic and historical importance. Ministerial decree in conformity with Law N° 1497/39, which concerns the protection of natural heritage, year 1968, protects a large area around the castle. The Territorial Landscape Plan manages territorial transformation.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 23, 2005

#### • Question 6.02

The monument was acquired by the Italian State in 1876 and is protected under several state laws;  
Law n° 1089/39 concerning the artistic, archeological, and cultural heritage of the Italian State;  
Decree of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities in 1978: declares the monument to be of great artistic and historical importance;  
Ministerial decree in conformity with Law N° 1497/39 concerning the protection of natural heritage, year 1968: it protect a large area around the castle.  
Territorial Landscape Plan: manages the territorial transformation

#### Comment

In 2004, the Code of the cultural heritage and landscape has incorporated the laws 1089/39 and 1497/39

##### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

##### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

##### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

##### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

##### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

no one.

#### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

##### 4.3.1 - Management System

The site is managed by the State Party, under protective legislation. The levels of public authority who are primarily

involved with the management of the site are national and local.  
The Management Plan of the site was planned to be drawn up in 2006.

**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**  
Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)  
Submitted on Wednesday, November 23, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group:  
The Management Plan of the site within which the setting up of a committee to coordinate and monitoring the initiatives is being drawn up.
- **Question 5.05**  
Overall management system of the site
  - Management by the State Party
  - Management under protective legislation

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**  
There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**  
The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**  
The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**  
An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Non-existent
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Visitors	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Non-existent

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**  
**No local communities** are resident in or living near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer**

**zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**  
no one.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

no one.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	50%
Part-time	50%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	50%
Seasonal	50%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	80%
Volunteer	20%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Non-existent
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	Medium

Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

no one.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **no research** taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **not shared** at any level

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

no one.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

no one

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Non-existent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average

Local businesses and industries	Poor
---------------------------------	------

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Poor

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

no one

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
------------------------------

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

no one

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Non-existent
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

no one

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

no one

#### 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
<b>3.7.2</b>	<b>Relative humidity</b>	(i) (ii) (III)	Conservative restoration works funded by Ministry of cultural heritage, activities and tourism	Monitoring and analysis of the state of conservation of the castle conducted by the staff of the Soprintendenza, a peripheral office of the Ministry of cultural heritage, activities and tourism is ongoing	one year	Ministry of cultural heritage, activities and tourism	no comments.
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
<b>3.8.6</b>	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	(i) (ii) (III)	A limited number of visitors to the castle, up to maximum 240 units, is in force. This action is required also for safety reasons.	The employees of the Superintendenza for the architectural controls the flow of visitors in and out.	All days open to the public.	Ministry of cultural heritage, activities and tourism; and Nova Apulia (company entrusted with the Additional Services).	no comments.

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
<b>4.4.13</b>	<b>Promotion</b>	The availability of human resources and financing for promotion is not enough and can be implemented	every month	Ministry of cultural heritage activities and tourism, and privates	no comments	
<b>4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>						
<b>4.5.2</b>	<b>There is no research taking place in the property</b>	A new collaboration with University of Lecce is in progress	one year.	Ministry of cultural heritage activities and tourism, and University.	no comments.	
<b>4.8 Monitoring</b>						
<b>4.8.2</b>	<b>Key indicators have not been defined</b>	A continuous monitoring of the state of conservation of the property is in place, led by the technicians and experts of the Soprintendenza. It wasn't identified a specific set of indicators which will be studied during the preparation of MP.	one year.	Ministry of cultural heritage activities and tourism	no comments.	



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

no one

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Negative
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Poor
State Party Representative	Fair
Advisory Body	Fair

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	None
State Party	Unsatisfactory
Site Managers	None
Advisory Bodies	Unsatisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**

no one.