

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Piazza del Duomo, Pisa

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

395bis



#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1987

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Piazza del Duomo, Pisa	43.723 / 10.396	8.87	254	262.87	1987
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>8.87</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>262.87</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Piazza del Duomo di Pisa. Richiesta di estensione del perimetro del sito, Scale by calculation ~ 1:2,220	30/01/2007	
Piazza del Duomo di Pisa. Richiesta di estensione del perimetro del sito, Scale by calculation 1:25,000	30/01/2007	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi  
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali  
Funzionario  
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Gianluca De Felice  
Opera della Primaziale Pisana  
Segretario

#### Comment

Marco Filippeschi Sindaco di Pisa Via degli Uffizi, 1 56100  
Pisa Telephone: +39 050 910205 Fax: +39 050 910523 Email: segreteria.sindaco@comune.pisa.it

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Pisa in Virtual Reality](#)
- [Rete Civica Pisana](#)
- [Opera Primaziale Pisana](#)

#### Comment

www.opapisa.it

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iv)(vi)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Leaning Tower of Pisa due to groundwater

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.5	Underground transport infrastructure							
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>							
3.4.4	Air pollution							
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.1	Wind							
3.7.2	Relative humidity							
3.7.3	Temperature							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.2	Earthquake							
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
<b>3.1.2</b>	<b>Commercial development</b>	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
<b>3.4.4</b>	<b>Air pollution</b>	widespread	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.2</b>	<b>Relative humidity</b>	widespread	frequent	significant	high capacity	static
<b>3.7.3</b>	<b>Temperature</b>	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
<b>3.8.6</b>	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The site is protected under Ronchey Decree 14 November 1992, no. 433, as converted into Act 14 January 1993, no. 4 (see 03.06). In the structural plan approved by resolution of the Municipal Council no. 103 dated 2.10.1998 the Carta di Ricognizione dei Vincoli Sovraordinati shows that Piazza del Duomo is subject to a restriction pursuant to Ministerial Decree 10 September 1957 due to the declaration of public interest of all green areas inside the city walls. In addition, as is known, the area is also subject to an archaeological restriction pursuant to Act 1089 dated 1939 and following, Consolidation Act. The sites of UNESCO architectural interest - Piazza dei Miracoli area - are marked in the city plan as A, B, C. A concerns ordinary maintenance; B concerns extraordinary maintenance; C concerns restoration and

conservative reclamation. As to their intended use, the above-mentioned sites of architectural interest are marked in the Carta di Regolamento Urbanistico, as "urban facilities" and in particular the Monumental Cemetery is considered acting as a Museum. As to the lawn areas, they are marked in the same Plan as "gardens of historical, architectural or natural value": the city planning regulations put them in the class value A, "Preservation area", which rules that their design and all historical parts be preserved. In the local qualitative and functional objectives of UTOE 3 (Unità Territoriale Omogenea Elementare) "Progetto Parco Museale", to which Piazza del Duomo of Pisa belongs, special prominence is given to the building of a pedestrian road connecting Piazza dei Miracoli with the Arsenal "La Cittadella", enabling people to rediscover the route of the mediaeval walls.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

#### • Question 6.02

Ronchey Decree 14 November 1992, no. 433, as converted into Act 14 January 1993, no. 4 (see 03.06). In the structural plan approved by resolution of the Municipal Council no. 103 dated 2.10.1998 the Carta di Ricognizione dei Vincoli Sovraordinati shows that Piazza del Duomo is subject to a restriction pursuant to Ministerial Decree 10 September 1957 due to the declaration of public interest of all green areas inside the city walls. In addition, as is known, the area is also subject to an archaeological restriction pursuant to Act 1089 dated 1939 and following, Consolidation Act. The sites of Unesco architectural interest - Piazza dei Miracoli area - are marked in the city plan as A, B, C, i.e.: -A: ordinary maintenance -B: extraordinary maintenance -C: restoration and conservative reclamation As to their intended use, the above-mentioned sites of architectural interest are marked in the Carta di Regolamento Urbanistico, as "urban facilities" and in particular the Monumental Cemetery is considered acting as a Museum. As to the lawn areas, they are marked in the same Plan as "gardens of historical, architectural or natural value": the city planning regulations put them in the class value A, "Preservation area", which rules that their design and all historical parts be preserved. In the local qualitative and functional objectives of UTOE 3 (Unità Territoriale Omogenea Elementare) "Progetto Parco Museale", to which Piazza del Duomo of Pisa belongs, special prominence is given to the building of a pedestrian road connecting Piazza dei Miracoli with the Arsenal "La Cittadella", enabling people to rediscover the route of the mediaeval walls.

#### Comment

The municipal planning tool has been updated recently, with the rise of the protection standards, particularly in the areas: traffic, commerce, roads, parking lots and residences.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining

**the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

A steering group, the Opera della Primaziale Pisana, was set up for the management of the site. In 2006, a Management Plan was under preparation.

As the building cannot be interpreted individually without considering the whole setting in which they are fitted, the monumental complex of Piazza del Duomo of Pisa has been equated to a museum system.

The Opera is run by the Deputation (Board of Directors) which is composed of seven members: they are appointed for three years, two by the Diocesan Ordinary and five by the Ministry of Internal Affairs. It is legally constituted. The Opera della Primaziale Pisana pursues exclusively social solidarity purposes, in the following areas: a) care, protection, preservation and maintenance, as well as promotion of the image and development, without interfering with the religious services, of the Cathedral, the Baptistery, the Belfry, the Monumental Cemetery, the Bishop's Palace, the church of San Ranierino, the museums and the other assets under its jurisdiction, subject to the restriction as per Act 1 June 1939 no. 1089, by taking care in particular: 1) of the administration, maintenance, preservation and restoration of the property and temporary assets intended for the above; 2) of purchasing and maintaining the furnishings, furniture and equipment needed for the church, the attached vestry and the other monuments and any other expense associated with or useful for the performance of the aforesaid activities, which under the charter, have to be paid out from the budget of the Opera. b) of promoting the knowledge of history and art in all their forms and cultural manifestations, as referred to the monumental complex and the other assets under its jurisdiction.

The Opera della Primaziale Pisana, the vestry board of the Cathedral of Pisa, based in Piazza del Duomo n.17, has a legal status as attested by the Ministry of Internal Affairs on 24 November 1987. The Opera is run by the Deputation (Board of Directors) which is composed of seven members: they are

appointed for three years, two by the Diocesan Ordinary and five by the Ministry of Internal Affairs.

The site is managed under contractual agreement between the State Party and a third party.

**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

**• Question 5.02**

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

**• Question 5.03**

**Set up date:** 1063

**Function:** The Opera della Primaziale Pisana pursues exclusively social solidarity purposes, in the following areas: a) care, protection, preservation and maintenance, as well as promotion of the image and development, without interfering with the religious services, of the Cathedral, the Baptistery, the Belfry, the Monumental Cemetery, the Bishop's Palace, the church of San Ranierino, the museums and the other assets under its jurisdiction, subject to the restriction as per Act 1 June 1939 no. 1089, by taking care in particular: 1) of the administration, maintenance, preservation and restoration of the property and temporary assets intended for the above; 2) of purchasing and maintaining the furnishings, furniture and equipment needed for the church, the attached vestry and the other monuments and any other expense associated with or useful for the performance of the aforesaid activities, which under the charter, have to be paid out from the budget of the Opera. b) of promoting the knowledge of history and art in all their forms and cultural manifestations, as referred to the monumental complex and the other assets under its jurisdiction.

**Mandate:** The Opera della Primaziale Pisana, the vestry board of the Cathedral of Pisa, based in Piazza del Duomo n.17, has a legal status as attested by the Ministry of Internal Affairs on 24 November 1987. The Opera is run by the Deputation (Board of Directors) which is composed of seven members: they are appointed for three years, two by the Diocesan Ordinary and five by the Ministry of Internal Affairs.

**Constituted:** legal

**• Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management under protective legislation
- Management under contractual agreement between the State Party and a third party

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored



## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Non-existent

### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

## 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	90%

## Section II-Piazza del Duomo, Pisa

Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	10%

### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

### 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	85%
Part-time	15%

### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
-------------------------	------

Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	High
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In one location, but **not easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has **not influenced** education, information or awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
------------------------------

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

### 4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

## Section II-Piazza del Duomo, Pisa

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

### 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.2	Commercial development	All	Currently the activities of itinerant trade have been moved outside the perimeter of the site, with interim measure, in order to allow the restoration of the Museum of Sinopsis. The final location will be managed in compliance with the Italian law.	A survey on the areas affected by the activities of itinerant trade is in progress	One year	Municipality with Ministry of cultural heritage and landscape and tourism	No comment
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>						
3.4.4	Air pollution	All	Interventions are in place for cleaning and maintenance of buildings on the outer surfaces. Some rooms are equipped with filtration systems.	A continuous monitoring carried out by qualified personnel is in progress	Ongoing	Opera Primaziale Pisana	No comment
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	All	The systems for control of the temperature / humidity of the painted panels to avoid the phenomenon of condensation have been put into service.	A continuous monitoring carried out by qualified personnel is in progress	Ongoing	Opera Primaziale Pisana	No comment
3.7.3	Temperature	All	The systems for control of the temperature / humidity of the painted panels to avoid the phenomenon of condensation have been put into service.	A continuous monitoring carried out by qualified personnel is in progress	Ongoing	Opera Primaziale Pisana	No comment
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	All	Programs to control the flow of visitors that restrict access have been put in place. In particular, there is a limited and daily access to the Tower.	Monitoring of the flow of visitors is in place	Ongoing	Opera Primaziale Pisana	No comment

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.8 Monitoring						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	None	N.A.	N.A.	None. The critical factor will be taken into consideration in the elaboration of the Management Plan.	
4.8.4	Implementation of Committee recommendations is planned, but has not yet begun	A new Memorandum of Understanding between the various operators of the site is in the course of underwriting. A new site manager, the Municipality of Pisa has been appointed in order to face better to the criticalities that come from outside.	One year	Municipality with MiBACT, Regione, Provincia, Opera Primaziale Pisana	None	



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Negative
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very poor
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Poor

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

**Most** of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	None
State Party	Unsatisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Unsatisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**