

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Pythagoreion and Heraion of Samos

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Greece

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

595

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1992



### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Pythagoreion of Samos	37.691 / 26.943	285.9	402.25	688.15	
Heraion of Samos	37.673 / 26.889	382.45	?	382.45	
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>668.35</b>	<b>402.25</b>	<b>1070.6</b>	

#### Comment

The inscription includes the ancient city (Pythagoreion) and the classical Sanctuary of Hera (Heraion). In the nomination file, Heraion's core zone was declared in a descriptive way, without delimitation of its exact boundaries. According to the description of the property, the inscribed area refers to the excavated monuments related to the Sanctuary of Hera. During the Retrospective Inventory, though, the map of Heraion shows as core zone the overall designated archaeological site (382.45 Ha), without any distinction between core and buffer zone. Also, the year of inscription (1992) needs to be added to the Information Table.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Pythagoreion of Samos	10/12/2009	
Heraion of Samos	10/12/2009	

#### Comment

For the Heraion's map see the above mentioned comment in question 1.3

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports - 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Panagiotis Chatzidakis  
Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Tourism  
Head of the Ephorate, Archaeologist  
21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities

- Maria Viglaki  
Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Tourism  
Archaeologist  
21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities

#### Comment

The name of the Ministry now is Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Hellenic Ministry of Culture](http://www.hellenicministryofculture.gr/)

#### Comment

The name of the Ministry now is Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports Please also add the following link: Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports  
([http://odysseus.culture.gr/h/2/eh251.jsp?obj\\_id=818](http://odysseus.culture.gr/h/2/eh251.jsp?obj_id=818))

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for Pythagoreion and Heraion of Samos has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is under evaluation.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (ii): The temple of Hera at Samos is fundamental to an understanding of classical architecture. The stylistic and structural innovations in each of its successive phases strongly influenced the design of temples and public buildings throughout the Greek world. The technological mastery of the Eupalinus' tunnel similarly served as a model for engineering and public works. Criterion (iii): Samos was the leading maritime and mercantile power in the Greek world in the 6th century BC, and this importance is reflected in the extent and richness of the archaeological remains, which are largely untouched by subsequent development. Pythagoreion and Heraion of Samos bear eloquent witness of the Ionian spirit. During its apogee in the 6th century BC the ancient city of Samos achieved exceptional technical and artistic progress and created innovative methods and works, thus representing a city with a high level of civilization, which merits its inclusion in the Ionian Dodekapolis.

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

### **3. Factors Affecting the Property**

#### **3.14. Other factor(s)**

##### **3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

Sea-salt. Minute drops of sea water carried by the wind form a hard crust on the surface of the mosaic floors and in some cases cause exfoliation or minute cracks on the marbles. Conservation and consolidation of the monuments wherever necessary, is provided by the competent 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure					
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure					
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
3.7.1	Wind					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
3.11.2	Earthquake					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
<b>3.1.1</b>	<b>Housing</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.1</b>	<b>Wind</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.7.2</b>	<b>Relative humidity</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.7.8</b>	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

3.1.1 the selection of "inside" concerns only the Pythagoreion, since the modern settlement lies on the remains of the ancient city. 3.8.6 the selection of "outside" concerns only the Pythagoreion.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The regime of protecting the ancient city of Samos and the Heraion of Samos is as follows:

1. Law 3028/02 "On the protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general";
2. Ministerial Decision 11107/1963 Government Gazette 408/A/1963 designating the city inside the ancient walls of the Pythagoreion as an archaeological site;
3. Ministerial Decision 40292/1401/1984, Government Gazette 598/B./1984, for the extension of the designated archaeological site of the Pythagoreion;

4. Presidential Decree, Government Gazette 798/Δ/1991 on defining the buffer zones for the designated archaeological site of the Pythagoreion;

5. Ministerial Decision 25291/1968, Government Gazette 635/B/1969 designating the buffer zone for the archaeological site of the Heraion;

6. Presidential Decree, Government Gazette 100/ Δ /1995 designating the zones of residential control in the Municipalities and Communities of the island of Samos.

A presidential Decree was issued defining the zones of residential control in the Municipalities and the Communities of the island of Samos, which included the Pythagoreion and the Heraion.

The existing protection arrangements are considered sufficiently effective.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

#### • Question 6.02

The regime of protecting the ancient city of Samos and the Heraion of Samos and specifically:

A) Ministerial Decision 11107/1963 Government Gazette 408/A/1963 designating the city inside the ancient walls of the Pythagoreion to be an archaeological site.

B) Ministerial Decision 40292/1401/1984, Government Gazette 598/B./1984 for the extension of the designated archaeological site of the Pythagoreion.

C) Presidential Decree Government Gazette 798/Δ/1991 on defining the buffer zones for the designated archaeological site of the Pythagoreion

D) Ministerial Decision 25291/1968 Government Gazette 635/B/1969 designating the buffer zone for the archaeological site of the Heraion

E) Presidential Decree Government Gazette 100/ Δ /1995 designating the zones of residential control in the Municipalities and Communities of the island of Samos, in combination with the provision of Law "On the protection of antiquities and cultural heritage in general", has achieved to a great extent the protection of the aforementioned monuments. Some times it is extremely difficult to achieve this goal, particularly on the site of the ancient city, thankfully not with respect to the integrity of the monuments, but instead in their visual "assault" by planned municipal works.

#### Comment

By the ministerial decision no.YPPOT/GDAPK/ARX/A1/F21/46456/2315, published in Government Gazette no. 209/AAP/14 June 2012, the archaeological site of Heraion has been designated again as an Archaeological Site in accordance to the provision of Law 3028/2002.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining

**the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

There is no management plan. The management and protection of monuments and archaeological sites in Greece is not based on an actual management plan. Greece has a system of protection, which is administered centrally by the Ministry of Culture through its regional services. The Ministry of Culture is the competent body supervising the sites and overseeing all works carried out. As far as the safeguarding of cultural and natural heritage is concerned, there are cases where other competent bodies besides the Ministry of Culture are involved. The Ministry of Culture sets out the guidelines and the general policy for the management of the monuments and sites, which is then implemented by the competent Ephorates.

In the case of Pythagoreion and Heraion of Samos the 21<sup>st</sup> Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities is responsible for the management and protection of the monuments.

The responsibilities of the Site manager have been added to an existing job.

The current management system is considered sufficiently effective.

**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group:  
It is not necessary to establish a committee, as both areas are under the constant supervision and control of the 21<sup>st</sup> EPCA and its permanent archaeologists and other staff.

• **Question 5.05**

- Overall management system of the site
  - Management by the State Party
  - Management under protective legislation

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone



## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.10.3 local industry consists mainly of limited farming within or outside the buffer zone, activities that do not affect the property

### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

By the ministerial decision no.YPPOT/GDAPK/ARX/A1/F21/46456/2315, published in Government Gazette no. 209/AAP/14 June 2012, the archaeological site of Heraion has been designated again as an Archaeological Site in accordance to the provisions of the Law 3028/2002

## 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	30%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	70%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

### 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

## Section II-Pythagoreion and Heraion of Samos

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.1.7 and 4.4.1.8: Revenues from tickets as well as from commercial operator payments are collected by the Archaeological Receipts Fund (T.A.P.), a public jurisdiction legal entity under the auspices of the Ministry of Culture & Sports, and they are redistributed to the archaeological sites and museums of the country according to their needs. Therefore, such revenues are subject to centralized administration and for that reason it is not possible to estimate their contribution to the total funding of each property.

### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	60%
Seasonal	40%

### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

### 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.4.15: None of the 4 answers fully reflects the property's current situation. However, 4.4.15.4 is closer to the current status, since constant care for transferring technical skills among the personnel exists at a permanent basis, although there is no specific capacity development plan or programme.

## 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

### 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

### 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

### 4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

K. Tsakos-M. Viglaki-Sofianou, Samos: The Archeological Museums, Athens 2012 (<http://www.latsis-foundation.org/default.asp?pid=92&la=2&bID=73&libID=1>)  
Articles by German and other nationality scholars in Athenische Mitteilungen (<http://www.dainst.org/en/publication/am?ft=all>) Preliminary reports published in Archaiologikon Deltion (<http://www.tap.gr/tapadb/index.php/ekdoseis/archaiologikon-deltion>)

### 4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.5.2 The 4 answers do not fully describe the property's research. There is extensive research taking place in Pythagoreion and Heraion and it is relevant to management needs and to improving the understanding of OUV, but there is not an integrated programme of research.

## Section II-Pythagoreion and Heraion of Samos

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

### 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

### 4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

### 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

### 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

### 4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.6.1.3 In one location, but for each site. 4.6.6.1 concerns Heraion and 4.6.6.2 concerns Pythagoreion.

## 4.7. Visitor Management

### 4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
------------------------------

### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

#### 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

## 4.8. Monitoring

#### 4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

#### 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

## Section II-Pythagoreion and Heraion of Samos

### 4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

### 4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

### 4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.8.1.4 Although there is not a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, there is considerable monitoring and it is relevant to and directed towards the management needs and improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value.

## 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2



5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	<b>Housing</b>	Housing puts some pressure on the setting of the property	Systematic, strict and obligatory controls before issuing any building permit	rigorous monitoring	on-going procedure	Ministry of Culture and Sports, 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities	As far as Heraion's buffer and Pythagoreion's core and buffer zones are concerned, subsurface control is firstly conducted before granting any building permissions. In Heraion's core zone no building activities are permitted.
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.1	<b>Wind</b>	The factor might slowly affect the integrity of architectural elements of the monuments.	conservation and consolidation of the monuments wherever it is necessary	continuous	on-going procedure	Ministry of Culture and Sports, 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities	no comment
3.7.2	<b>Relative humidity</b>	The factor might slowly affect the integrity of architectural elements of the monuments.	conservation and consolidation of the monuments wherever it is necessary	continuous	on-going	Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports, 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities	no comments
3.7.8	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	The factor might slowly affect the integrity of architectural elements of the monuments.	Proactive conservation, Cleaning works	Continuous monitoring of monuments.	on-going project	Ministry of Culture and Sports, 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities	none

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.6.3	<b>There is a limited education and awareness programme</b>	guided tours for schools and other groups, open concerts on the site and the museum on the full-moon of August	ad hoc	Ministry of Culture and Sports, 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities	no comments	

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

The ongoing works of excavation, studying, conservation and consolidation, both in Pythagoreion and Eupalinus' tunnel, with funding from the European Union and the Greek State (National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) 2007 -2013, with a total sum of 1.470.000,00 €) constantly enhance and highlight the values for which it was designated a World Heritage Site.

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
---

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
--

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
Monitoring and reporting

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for Pythagoreion and Heraion of Samos has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is under evaluation.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: The inscription includes the ancient city (Pythagoreion) and the classical Sanctuary of Hera (Heraion). In the nomination file, Heraion's core zone was declared in a descriptive way, without delimitation of its exact boundaries. According to the description of the property, the inscribed area refers to the excavated monuments related to the Sanctuary of Hera. During the Retrospective Inventory, though, the map of Heraion shows as core zone the overall designated archaeological site (382.45 Ha), without any distinction between core and buffer zone. Also, the year of inscription (1992) needs to be added to the Information Table.

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: For the Heraion's map see the above mentioned comment in question 1.3

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**