

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Classical Weimar

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Germany

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

846

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998







1.3 - Geographic Information Table

| Name | Coordinates (longitude / latitude) | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| | 0 / 0 | ? | ? | ? | |
| | 0 / 0 | ? | ? | ? | |
| Goethe's House, Frauenplan 2, Weimar , Thuringia , Germany | 50.978 / 11.329 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| Schiller's House, Schillerstraße 12, Weimar , Thuringia , Germany | 50.979 / 11.328 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| City Church, Herder House and the Old High School, Herderplatz 8-14, Weimar , Thuringia , Germany | 50.981 / 11.329 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| City Castle, Burgplatz 4, Weimar , Thuringia , Germany | 50.981 / 11.332 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| Widow's Palace, Am Palace 1, Weimar , Thuringia , Germany | 50.98 / 11.326 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| Duchess Anna Amalia Library, Platz der Demokratie 1, Weimar , Thuringia , Germany | 50.979 / 11.332 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| Princes' Tomb and Historic Cemetery, Am Poseckschen Garten, Historischer Friedhof, Weimar , Thuringia , Germany | 50.973 / 11.325 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| Park on the Ilm with Roman House, Goethe's Garden House and Garden, Weimar , Thuringia , Germany | 50.975 / 11.333 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| Castle, Orangery and Castle Park Belvedere, Weimar , Thuringia , Germany | 50.949 / 11.347 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| Castle and Castle Park Tiefurt, Weimar - Tiefurt , Thuringia , Germany | 50.994 / 11.365 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| Castle and Castle Park Ettersburg, Weimar Rural District , Thuringia , Germany | 51.033 / 11.275 | ? | ? | 0 | 1998 |
| Total (ha) | | | 0 | | |

Comment

Updated information concerning the geographic information table will be submitted with the revised managementplan by 1st February 2014

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|--|------------|---|
| Classical Weimar - Map showing components 001 to 006 | 25/07/1996 |  |
| Classical Weimar - Map showing component 007 | 25/07/1996 |  |
| Classical Weimar - Map showing component 008 | 25/07/1996 |  |
| Classical Weimar - Map showing component 009 | 25/07/1996 |  |
| Classical Weimar - Map showing component 010 | 25/07/1996 |  |
| Classical Weimar - Map showing component 011 | 05/08/1998 |  |

Comment

Updated maps will be submitted with the revised managementplan by 1st February 2014

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Birgitta Ringbeck
Auswärtiges Amt
National World Heritage Focal Point
Referat 603-9
Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Stefan Biermann
Thüringer Ministerium für Wissenschaft
Forschung und Kultur

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Stiftung Weimarer Klassik](#)
- [Klassisches Weimar \(Deutsche UNESCO-Kommission\) \(german only\)](#)
- [UNESCO Commission of Germany](#)

Comment

- www.klassik-stiftung.de
- [www. weimar.de](http://www.weimar.de)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Adopted WHC 37Com

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (iii) The high artistic quality of the public and private buildings and parks in and around the town testify to the remarkable cultural flowering of the Weimar Classical Period. Criterion (vi) Enlightened ducal patronage attracted many of the leading writers and thinkers in Germany, such as Goethe, Schiller, and Herder to Weimar in the late 18th and early 19th centuries, making it the cultural centre of the Europe of the day. Integrity Classical Weimar includes all elements necessary to express the outstanding universal value of one of the most influential cultural centres in Europe. It is of adequate size to ensure the features and processes which convey the significance of the property

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The revised OUV was sent in march 2013.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value






























3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| | Name | Impact | Origin |
|---------------|--|---|---|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | |
| 3.1.5 | Interpretative and visitation facilities |    | |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | |
| 3.8.1 | Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses |    | |
| 3.9 | Other human activities | | |
| 3.9.2 | Deliberate destruction of heritage |    | |
| 3.10 | Climate change and severe weather events | | |
| 3.10.1 | Storms |    | |
| 3.10.2 | Flooding |    | |
| 3.13 | Management and institutional factors | | |
| 3.13.1 | Low impact research / monitoring activities |     | |
| 3.13.3 | Management activities |     | |
| Legend |  Current |  Potential |  Negative |
| |  Positive |  Inside |  Outside |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

- Foundation Law
- The protection arrangements are considered sufficiently effective

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 18, 2005

- **Question 6.02**
Foundation Law

Comment

Updated information will be submitted with the management plan by 1st February 2014.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

- No management plan is being implemented
- Where a management plan required and drawn up, it would be the Federal Republic of Germany and the Thuringian Ministry of Culture to finance it

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 18, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group":
none
- **Question 5.05**
Overall management system of the site
 - Management under protective legislation

Comment

The management plan will be submitted by 1st February 2014.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The management plan will be submitted by 1st February 2014.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /

municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Fair |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Fair |
| Visitors | Fair |
| Researchers | Fair |
| Tourism industry | Fair |
| Industry | Not applicable |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Contact with industry is not necessary for the management of the site.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective

measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) | 0% |
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |
| Governmental (National / Federal) | 34% |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State) | 35% |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal) | 15% |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 1% |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 10% |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0% |
| Other grants | 5% |

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Full-time | 0% |
| Part-time | 100% |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Permanent | 100% |
| Seasonal | 0% |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Paid | 99% |
| Volunteer | 1% |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring | Good |
| Promotion | Good |
| Community outreach | Good |
| Interpretation | Good |
| Education | Good |
| Visitor management | Good |
| Conservation | Good |
| Administration | Good |
| Risk preparedness | Good |
| Tourism | Good |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Good |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring | High |
| Promotion | High |
| Community outreach | High |
| Interpretation | High |
| Education | High |
| Visitor management | High |
| Conservation | High |
| Administration | High |
| Risk preparedness | High |
| Tourism | High |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | High |

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Average |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |
| Local Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Local landowners | Average |
| Visitors | Excellent |
| Tourism industry | Excellent |
| Local businesses and industries | Average |

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| Visitor centre | Excellent |
|----------------|-----------|

| | |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Site museum | Excellent |
| Information booths | Adequate |
| Guided tours | Excellent |
| Trails / routes | Not needed |
| Information materials | Excellent |
| Transportation facilities | Excellent |
| Other | Excellent |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

| | |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| Last year | Minor Increase |
| Two years ago | Decreasing |
| Three years ago | Minor Increase |
| Four years ago | Decreasing |
| Five years ago | Major Increase (100%+) |

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

| |
|------------------------------|
| Entry tickets and registries |
| Accommodation establishments |
| Tourism industry |

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or

improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Average |
| Local communities | Not applicable |
| Researchers | Not applicable |
| NGOs | Excellent |
| Industry | Not applicable |
| Local indigenous peoples | Not applicable |

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

| 4.3 Management System / Management Plan | | | | | |
|---|--|---------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
| 4.3.10 | There is little or no contact with industry regarding management | none | not defined | not identified | Contact with industry is not necessary for the management of the site. |

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|----------|
| Conservation | Positive |
| Research and monitoring | Positive |
| Management effectiveness | Positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Positive |
| Recognition | Positive |
| Education | Positive |
| Infrastructure development | Positive |
| Funding for the property | Positive |
| International cooperation | Positive |
| Political support for conservation | Positive |
| Legal / Policy framework | Positive |
| Lobbying | Positive |
| Institutional coordination | Positive |
| Security | Positive |
| Other (please specify) | Positive |

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

| |
|--|
| Governmental institution responsible for the property |
| Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff |
| Staff from other World Heritage properties |

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Please improve the online-access. There had been difficulties in the beginning. Some Questions are not well-defined and therefore not easy to answer-for example Nr. 4.4.1 and 4.4.9,4.4.10.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| UNESCO | Very good |
| State Party Representative | Very good |
| Advisory Body | Poor |

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

| |
|---|
| The World Heritage Convention |
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value |
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value |
| The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity |
| The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity |
| Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting |
| Management effectiveness |

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| UNESCO | Not Applicable |
| State Party | Excellent |
| Site Managers | Satisfactory |
| Advisory Bodies | Not Applicable |

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: Adopted WHC 37Com
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: Updated information concerning the geographic information table will be submitted with the revised managementplan by 1st February 2014
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update: Updated maps will be submitted with the revised managementplan by 1st February 2014

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise