

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Russian Federation

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

12/10/1988

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators
Non Governmental Organizations
External experts

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Irina Fominyh
Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation
Deputy Director of the International Cooperation Department

- Vladimir Zvetnov

Russian Focal Point for World Cultural Heritage

- Igor Makovetskiy
Russian National World Heritage Committee
President

Comment

replace for: Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation
Vladimir Tsvetnov Director of the Department for Control, Supervision and Licensing Malyi Gnezdnikovskiy per,7/6, str.1,2 125993 Moscow Tel: +7 495 625 07 08 e-mail:tsvetnov@mkrf.ru, depkontr@mkrf.ru Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment of the Russian Federation Irina Fominyh Deputy Director of the International Cooperation Department Ul.Bolshaya Gruzinskaya,d4/6 123995 Moscow Tel: 0074952548224 e-mail:fominykh_ira@mail.ru

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- Yudin P.Ye.
Director
Russian Research Institute for Cultural and Natural Heritage named after Dmitry Likhachev (Heritage Institute)
Domain: Cultural, Natural

1.6 - Comments

Heritage Institute has been granted the status of Base Organization for the World Heritage Sites preservation among the CIS countries in 2014, with the perspective to be assigned the status of Category 2 Centre under the auspices of UNESCO

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process well-advanced
----------	-----------------------

Regional / provincial / state	Process well-advanced
Local	Process well-advanced
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state	Process well-advanced
Local	Process well-advanced
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

Unified state register of the heritage sites (monuments of history and culture) of the peoples of the Russian Federation includes national (federal), regional and local (municipal) sites. State cadastre of the strictly protected natural territories is a systematic collection of the documented information on the strictly protected natural areas of the national (federal), regional and local significance.

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Historical Centre of the City of Astrakhan (cultural site, since 2008 as Ensemble of the Astrakhan Kremlin) / N/A /
Great Pskov (cultural site, since 2002) / N/A /
The ensemble of former city building of Sviyazhsk (cultural site, since 1998) / N/A /
Landscapes of Dauria (Daursky State Biosphere Reserve) (natural site, since 2005) / 2015 /
Bashkir Ural (mixed site, since 2012) / N/A /
Testament of Kenozero Lake (mixed site, since 2014) / N/A /
Western Caucasus (re-nomination, natural site, since 2014) / 2016 /
Virgin Komi Forests (re-nomination, natural site, since 2014) / 2016 /

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	No involvement
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	No involvement
Local communities / residents	No involvement
Indigenous peoples	No involvement
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Good

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

No

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO / www.unesco.ru Ordzhonikidze G.E. / Executive Secretary / 32/34 Smolenskaya-Sennaya Sq., Entrance 1, Moscow, 119200, G-200 / +7 499 244 24 56 / +7 499 244 24 75 / rusnatcom@mid.ru, unescorussia@mail.ru / Cultural, Natural /
--

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

3.7 - Comments

The Work Plan of the Heritage Institute for 2014 envisages the optimization of the Tentative List. Leading experts and specialists in the sphere of the World Heritage are to be invited to work on this issue and to use the current database on heritage sites from different regions in the country, as well as to apply the World Heritage Centre methodology of comparative analysis.

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups of Monuments	1989-10-17	inscribed
Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups of Monuments	2013-01-31	inscribed

Name	Date of submission	Status
Staraya Nissa (Old Nissa)	1989-10-17	referred
Kizhi Pogost	1989-10-17	inscribed
Kremlin and Red Square, Moscow	1989-10-17	inscribed
Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings	1990-10-04	inscribed
Cultural and Historic Ensemble of the Solovetsky Islands	1991-10-04	inscribed
Solovetsky Islands with the adjacent water area	2004-02-02	withdrawn
White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal	1991-10-04	inscribed
Church of the Ascension, Kolomenskoye	1991-10-04	deferred
Church of the Ascension, Kolomenskoye	1993-11-29	inscribed
Vladimir	1992-03-13	
Architectural Ensemble of the Trinity Sergius Lavra in Sergiev Posad	1992-08-20	inscribed
Virgin Komi Forests	1994-10-04	inscribed
Lake Baikal	1995-06-29	inscribed
Volcanoes of Kamchatka	1995-09-29	inscribed
Volcanoes of Kamchatka	2000-07-05	inscribed
Central Sikhote-Alin Natural Complex	1995-09-29	deferred
Central Sikhote-Alin	2000-06-23	inscribed
Vodlozero National Park	1995-09-29	not inscribed
Bashkirian Ural	1997-07-21	not inscribed
Western Caucasus	1998-06-29	inscribed
Western Caucasus (Extension to include the Teberdinskiy Reserve)	2003-01-31	not inscribed
Djeirahsko-Assinsky Museum-Preserve	1998-07-16	
Lena River Delta	1998-08-13	withdrawn
Historic and Architectural Complex of the Kazan Kremlin	1999-06-29	inscribed
The Bolgar Historical and Architectural Complex	1999-06-29	deferred
Bolgar Historical and Archaeological Complex	2010-01-29	Incomplete
Bolgar Historical and Archaeological Complex	2010-10-07	Incomplete
Bolgar Historical and Archaeological Complex	2012-01-30	referred
Ensemble of the Ferrapontov Monastery	1999-06-29	inscribed
Citadel, Ancient City and Fortress Buildings of Derbent	2001-06-28	inscribed
The First Railway Bridge over the Yenisei River	2001-06-28	not inscribed
Historical Centre of the City of Yeniseisk	2001-06-28	
Ensemble of the Novodevichy Convent	2003-01-28	inscribed
Rostov Kremlin	2003-01-31	withdrawn
Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl	2003-01-31	inscribed
Struve Geodetic Arc	2004-01-28	inscribed
"The Putorana Plateau" Nature Complex	2006-01-27	Incomplete
"The Putorana Plateau" Nature Complex	2007-01-26	deferred
Putorana Plateau	2009-01-28	inscribed
Lena Pillars Nature Park	2008-01-25	withdrawn
Lena Pillars Nature Park	2011-01-31	inscribed
Russian Kremllins	2010-02-01	Incomplete
Russian Kremllins	2011-01-31	referred
Sviyazhsk Historical, Architectural, Natural and Landscape Complex	2012-01-30	withdrawn
Landscapes of Dauria	2013-01-31	Incomplete
Sources of the Great Ob	1995-09-29	deferred
Golden Mountains of Altai	1997-09-26	inscribed
The Ubsnuur Hollow	1995-09-29	referred
Uvs Nuur Basin	1998-07-01	inscribed
Curonian Spit	1999-06-30	inscribed
Natural System of "Wrangel Island" Sanctuary	2000-06-23	withdrawn
Natural System of Wrangel Island Reserve	2004-02-26	inscribed

Comment

981rev Bolgar Historical and Archaeological Complex (cultural site, inscribed in 2014) Renomination of the following natural World Heritage Sites is expected: Western Caucasus (2016, to be specified) Virgin Komi Forests (2016, to be specified)

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	No involvement
Local industries	No involvement
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Good

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	Some benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	Some benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit
Improved presentation of sites	High benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	Limited benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	High benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Limited benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	Some benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Limited benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

4.4 - Comments

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

Comment

Federal Law of 25.06.2002 #73-FZ "On cultural heritage sites (monuments of history and culture) of the peoples of the Russian Federation" (Art.25 is devoted to World Heritage Sites) 2002 <http://base.consultant.ru> Federal Law of 26.05.1996 #54-FZ "On museum fund of the Russian Federation and museums in the Russian Federation" (Art.26 and 27 in law edition of 2011 are devoted to museum-reserves and cultural heritage sites) 1996 <http://base.consultant.ru> Federal Law of 10.01.2002 #7-FZ "On environmental protection" (Art.4 and 5 mention World Heritage Sites) 2002 <http://base.consultant.ru> Federal Law of 14.03.1995 #33-FZ "On strictly protected natural territories" 1995 <http://base.consultant.ru> Currently, new amendments to Federal Law of 25.06.2002 #73-FZ are being developed in order to better meet the requirements of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage. It is also necessary to make amendments to the Federal Law of 14.03.1995 #33-FZ "On strictly protected

natural territories" in order to introduce the World Heritage concept and relevant provisions to regulate the given area. Therefore there are prerequisites for improvement of mechanisms and methods of the Convention implementation.

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

National City Building Code / 2004 / National / Cultural / http://base.consultant.ru/cons/cgi/online.cgi?req=doc;base=LAW;n=147332;dst=0;ts=18BF
Land Code of the Russian Federation / 2001 / National / Cultural, Natural / http://www.consultant.ru/popular/earth/17_6.html#p547

5.3 - Comment

Natural heritage protection is directly or indirectly affected in the following legal acts: Water Code of the Russian Federation (#74-FZ enacted on 03.06.2006) Forest Code of the Russian Federation (#200-FZ enacted on 04.12.2006) Federal Law "On animal world" (#52-FZ enacted on 24.04.1995) In most of administrative regions regional laws on protection of the cultural heritage sites were recently adopted, for example, in Arkhangelsk, Vladimir, Vologda regions, and in the city of Saint-Petersburg.

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered

Comment

Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict, The Hague, 1954 Protocol to the Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed conflict, 1954 Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter, London, 1972 UNESCO Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property, Paris, 1970 Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe, Granada, 1985 Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, especially as Waterfowl Habitat, Ramsar, 1971 Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), 1973 Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, Bonn, 1979 Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal, Basel, 1989 Convention on Biological Diversity, Rio de Janeiro, 1992 European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage (Revised), Valetta, 1992 Your comment: UNIDROIT Convention on Stolen or Illegally Exported Cultural Objects, Rome, 1995 was signed in 1996 but did not enter into force for the Russian Federation. The Convention for the Safeguarding of Intangible Cultural Heritage, Paris, 2003 was not signed by the Russian Federation, but two Russian elements were inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity in 2008 (Cultural space and oral culture of the Semeiskie and Olonkho, Yakut heroic epos). The Russian

Federation shall abide to the provisions of the Convention in reference to those two elements.

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is limited coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are no specific policies, but nonetheless this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.

5.10 - Comments

Currently, the World Heritage concept is not sufficiently reflected in the legislation. There is on-going development of the amendments to the acting federal laws, as well as improvement of legal and practical regulation in accordance with the Convention provisions.

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

6.5 - Comments

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

- Scientific restoration of the Transfiguration Church in Kizhi Pogost / 2004-present time
Regional
Cultural
<http://kizhi.karelia.ru>
World Heritage Site Kizhi Pogost
- Scientific restoration of Dionisius frescos in Ferapontov Monastery / 1981-2011
Regional
Cultural
<http://www.ferapontovo.ru>, <http://www.kirmuseum.ru>
World Heritage Site Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery
- Research of the Solovetskiy archipelago cultural and natural heritage (Integrated Marine Arctic Expedition under the Heritage Institute) / 1988-present time
National, Regional
Cultural, Natural
<http://heritage-institute.ru>
World Heritage Site Cultural and Historical Ensemble of the Solovetskiy Monastery and White Sea region
- State long-term program for Russian nature reserves "Observation and monitoring of natural phenomena and processes and their documenting in the reserve's Chronicles of Nature" / Permanently
National
Natural
<http://zapoved.ru/science/research>
Research is compulsory for all nature reserves in Russia, including the World Natural Heritage Sites
- UNDP/GEF Project "Biodiversity Conservation in Russian part of Altay-Sayan Ecoregion" / 2007-2012
Regional
Natural
<http://www.altai-sayan.com/eng/news/detail.php?ID=895>
Covers the areas of World Heritage Sites Golden Mountains of Altay and Uvs Noor Basin

7.3 - Comments

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

National government funds	Major source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of sustained funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Minor source of sustained funding

International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of fixed term funding
NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of fixed term funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of fixed term funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Not applicable

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

No

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

Yes

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.

8.6 - Comments

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

- Russian Academy of painting, sculpture and architecture by Ilya Glazunov, chair of safeguarding cultural heritage / National / Cultural
Training of specialists in World Cultural Heritage conservation, organization of thematic research and scientific conferences
- Kazan State University, The Volga Region branch of UNESCO Chair on city building and architectural monuments / Regional / Cultural
Promotion of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, monitoring of the World Heritage Site Historic and Architectural Complex of the Kazan Kremlin, participation in the project "Sustainable development of the Volga-Caspian region" as a model of integrated approach, organization of Round Tables, seminars and conferences on restoration, management and promotion of national and World Cultural Heritage etc.
- Russian International Tourism Academy, UNESCO chair on cultural tourism for peace and development / National / Cultural
Master's Program "Tourism, environment and cultural heritage", including World Heritage, research program "Cultural heritage and cultural tourism for peace and development"

- Moscow Institute of Architecture (State Academy) / National / Cultural
Reconstruction, restoration and research of architectural heritage

9.2 - Training needs

Conservation	Medium priority
Education	Medium priority
Promotion	Medium priority
Interpretation	Very low priority
Administration	Medium priority
Visitor management	Medium priority
Community outreach	Medium priority
Risk preparedness	Medium priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low priority
Other	Not applicable

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

9.4 - Comments

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others

No

10.3 - Comments

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International

National
Regional
Local

11.1.2 - Films / TV

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.4 - Internet

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

Not applicable

11.1.9 - Comments

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

Private Sector	Poor
Youth	Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
General public	Fair

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party participates in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Occasionally
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Occasionally
Youth Forums	Regularly
Skills-training courses for students	Often
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Regularly
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Occasionally
Other (comment below)	Never

11.2.5 - Comments

School program on World Heritage is introduced in a number of UNESCO associated schools. Stories on the World Heritage are present in several school textbooks and handbooks on Geography (Prosvetschenie Publ. House et al.). A series of maps on World Heritage Sites in the Russian Federation and other CIS countries, as well as Europe and Asia were printed by Drofa Publ. House. In 2012 the Russian Geographical Society allocated a grant for "Expeditions of Schoolstudents to World Heritage Sites in Russia" (23 expeditions were organized).

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

Identification of heritage
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

Identification of heritage
Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

National Inventories
National : Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state : Process well-advanced
National : Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state : Process well-advanced

12.1.3 - Tentative List

Tentative List
Yes

12.1.4 - Legal framework

Legal framework
Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

Implementation of international conventions within national policies

12.1.6 - Communities

Communities
Local communities / residents: No involvement
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: No involvement
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Not applicable
Local communities / residents: Poor
Indigenous peoples: Poor
Landowners: No involvement

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

Larger-scale planning
There are no specific policies, but nonetheless this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

Scientific and Technical Studies and Research
There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

12.1.10 - Financial status

Financial status
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

12.1.11 - Human resources

Human resources
A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.12 - Training

Training
Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation
Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

Education, Information and awareness building
Private Sector : Poor
Youth : Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

5 General Policy Development						
		Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
5.7	There is limited coordination or integration of different legal instruments for the development of heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies.	Joint coordination committee on World cultural and natural Heritage is to be established	Committee should coordinate activities of responsible bodies in field of development of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies	Ministry of Culture, Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology, Ministry of Regional Development, Commission of Russian Federation for UNESCO, Heritage Institute	2014	No
9 Training						
9.3	Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.	Capacity building and training of specialists in protection and conservation of World Cultural and Natural Heritage, research programmes in tourism and sustainable development	Preparation of new training and research programmes, their distribution in educational institutions	Heritage Institute, Ministry of Culture, Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology	2015 and later	No

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Yes

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM	Poor
ICOMOS national / regional	No support
IUCN national / regional	Poor

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good
State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair

13.6 - Comments

14. Thank you