

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Netherlands

Comment

The Kingdom of the Netherlands

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

26/08/1992

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Rene Wokke
Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands
National Focal Point for Periodic Reporting
- Dré van Marrewijk
State Service for Cultural Heritage
World Heritage Coordinator
Cultural Heritage Agency in the Netherlands /
Rijksdienst voor het Cultureel Erfgoed (RCE)

Comment

Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands Rene Wokke
National Focal Point for Periodic Reporting P.O. Box 1600
3800 BP Amersfoort Netherlands Telephone: +31 (0)33
4217350 Email: r.wokke@cultureelerfgoed.nl Cultural Heritage
Agency of the Netherlands Dré van Marrewijk World Heritage
Coordinator P.O. Box 1600 3800 BP Amersfoort Netherlands
Telephone: +31 33 4217350 // +31 6 5349 7420 Email:
D.van.Marrewijk@cultureelerfgoed.nl

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- ing Emilie van Zijl
Mrs. ing.
Ministry of Economic Affairs
Domain: Natural

1.6 - Comments

No comment

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Process well-advanced
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Process well-advanced
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

No comment

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Teylers / not known /
Van Nelle fabriek / 2013 /
Plantation system West Curaçao / 2015 /
Sanatorium Zonnestraal / 2016-2025 /
Nieuwe Hollandse Waterlinie / 2016-2025 /
Koloniën van Weldadigheid (agricultural pauper colony) (17/08/2011) / 2016-2025 /
Bonaire Marine Park / 2016-2025 /
Eise Eisinga Planetarium / 2016-2025 /
Island of Saba / 2016-2025 /
Frontiers of the Roman Empire (extension) / 2016-2025 /

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
Other global comparative analysis

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	No involvement
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Good

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

No

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Ministry of Education, Culture and Science / Minister /
Ministry of Economic Affairs / Minister /

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

No

3.7 - Comments

The Tentative List is updated in 2011

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Historic Area of Willemstad, Inner City and Harbour, Curaçao	1996-06-28	inscribed
Rietveld Schröderhuis (Rietveld Schröder House)	1999-06-16	inscribed
The Wadden Sea	2008-01-30	inscribed
The Wadden Sea	2011-01-28	inscribed
Seventeenth-century canal ring area of Amsterdam inside the Singelgracht	2009-01-30	inscribed
Teylers, Haarlem	2012-01-30	pending
Schokland and Surroundings	1994-09-30	inscribed
Defence Line of Amsterdam	1995-09-29	inscribed
Mill Network at Kinderdijk-Elshout	1996-06-28	inscribed
Ir.D.F. Woudagemaal (D.F. Wouda Steam Pumping Station)	1997-06-26	inscribed
Droogmakerij de Beemster (Beemster Polder)	1998-06-25	inscribed

Comment

1421 Teylers, Haarlem has been withdrawn.

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good

UNESCO National Commission	Fair
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Good

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List












Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	High benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	High benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	Some benefit
Improved presentation of sites	High benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	Some benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Some benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Some benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	Some benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Low benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

4.4 - Comments

No comment

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

Title	Year	Link to source
Act regarding superficial mining	1996	
Cultural Heritage Preservation Act	1984	
Wet 193 houdende regels betreffende enkele aspecten van het specifiek cultuurbeleid	1993	
Wet 200 houdende voorzieningen in het belang van het behoud van monumenten van geschiedenis en kunst	1961	
Wet 276 houdende vervanging van de Archiefwet 1962 en in verband daarmee wijziging van enige andere wetten	1995	
Wet 286 houdende vaststelling van nieuwe voorschriften omtrent de ruimtelijke ordening	1962	
Monumentenwet	1988	
Besluit 601 houdende wijziging van het rijksreglement onthroningen	1996	
Export licence of cultural goods (for a copy of the document, please contact the Database manager s.al-tabbal@unesco.org)		
Specific Export licence (for a copy of the document, please contact the Database manager s.al-tabbal@unesco.org)		
Besluit tot wijziging van het Besluit ruimtelijke ordening, het Besluit omgevingsrecht en het Besluit archeologische monumentenzorg in verband met de modernisering van de monumentenzorg en enkele technische aanpassingen	2011	

Comment

Cultural Heritage Monumentenwet 1988 (Monuments and Historic Buildings Act 1988) 2011 Wet op de Archeologische Monumentenzorg 2006 (Archeological Heritage Managements Act) Wet tot behoud van Cultuurbezit 1984 (Cultural Heritage Preservation Act) Wet ruimtelijke ordening (Wro) 2006 (Spatial Plannings Act) Besluit ruimtelijke ordening (Bro) 2012 (Spatial Planning Decree) Besluit algemene regels ruimtelijke ordening

(Barro) 2012 (General Spatial Planning Rules Decree) Wet Milieubeheer 1998 (Environmental Management act) Natural Heritage Natuurbeschermingswet 1988 (Nature Conservation Act 1998) Flora- en Faunawet (Flora and Fauna Act) Boswet (Forestry Act) Natuurschoonwet (Estates Act) Visserijwet (Fisheries Act) General Gemeentewet 1992 (Community Act) Provinciewet 1992 (Province act) Wet algemene bepalingen Omgevingsrecht (Wabo) 2010 General Provisions for Environmental Law Act

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

Barro / 2011 / National / Cultural and Natural / http://www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten-en-publicaties
Gevoelige gebieden/MER / Update 2011 / National / Natural / http://www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten-en-publicaties

5.3 - Comment

Implementation of national policy often is executed by local authorities.

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered

Comment

Natural Heritage conventions: The Convention on Biological Diversity (1992, Rio de Janeiro) Link: www.cbd.int/convention
 Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (1979, Bonn) Link: www.cms.int
 Agreement on the Conservation of Small Cetaceans of the Baltic, North East Atlantic, Irish and North Seas (under the auspices of the convention on migratory species/Bonn Convention) Link: www.ascobans.org/the_agreement
 Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (developed under the framework of the Convention on Migratory Species/Bonn Convention) Link: www.unep-aewa.org/
 Agreement on the Conservation of Seals in the Wadden Sea (1990) (under the auspices of the convention on migratory species/Bonn Convention) Link: www.cms.int/species/wadden_seals/sea_text.htm
 Eurobats, Agreement on the Conservation of Populations of European Bats (1991) (under the auspices of the convention on migratory species/Bonn Convention) Link: www.eurobats.org/official_documents/agreement_text
 Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural habitats, Convention of Bern, 1979 Link: www.conventions.coe.int/Treaty/en/Treaties/Word/104.doc
 Council Directive 79/409/EEC of 2 April 1979 on the Conservation of Wild Birds Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat, 1971 Link: http://www.ramsar.org/cda/en/ramsar-european-rs-homeindex/main/ramsar/1%5E26097_4000_0__
 OSPAR, Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of

the North-East Atlantic, 1992 Trilateral Wadden Sea Cooperation, 1982 Link: www.waddensea-secretariat.org/trilat/trilat.html
 European Landscape Convention (Florence Convention, Council of Europe 2004) Link: <http://www.coe.int/EuropeanLandscapeConvention>
 The inter-American convention for the protection of and conservation of sea turtles Link: <http://www.minbuza.nl/en/key-topics/treaties/search-the-treaty-database/1996/12/009024.html?printpart=parties>
 IMO – Particularly Sensitive Sea Area (PSSA) by the Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC) of the International Maritime Organization Link: <http://www.imo.org/OurWork/Environment/pollutionprevention/pssas>
 Cultural heritage Conventions.- Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict with Regulations for the Execution of the Convention. First Protocol (The Hague, 14 May 1954) Ratified by the Netherlands: 14 May 1954 Link: -First Protocol - Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict with Regulations for the Execution of the Convention. Second Protocol (The Hague, 26 March 1999) Ratified by the Netherlands: 26 March 1999 Link: -Second Protocol - Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property (Paris, 14 November 1970) Accepted by The Netherlands in 2009. Link: Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property - Code of good practice. Annex to the Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage 2001 (Paris 2 November 2001) Accepted by The Netherlands. Link: Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage - European Cultural Convention (CETS No.: 018, 19 December 1954) Ratified by the Netherlands: 8 February 1956 Link: European Cultural Convention - Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (CETS No.: 121, Granada, 3 October 1985) Ratified by the Netherlands: 15 February 1994 Link: Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe - European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage (Revised) (CETS No.: 143, Valetta, 16 January 1992) Ratified by the Netherlands: 11 June 2007 Link: European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage (Revised) - European Landscape Convention (CETS No.: 176, Florence, 20 October 2000) Ratified by the Netherlands: 27 juli 2005 Link: European Landscape Convention - International Charter for the Conservation of Monuments and Sites (Venice, 1964) Link: International Charter for the Conservation and Restoration of Monuments and Sites (The Venice Charter) - The Florence Charter (on Historic Gardens and Landscapes) (Florence, 1981) Link: The Florence Charter (Historic gardens and landscapes) - Charter on the Conservation of Historic Towns and Urban Areas (Washington, 1987) Link: Charter on the Conservation of Historic Towns and Urban Areas - Charter for the Protection and Management of the Archaeological Heritage (Lausanne, 1990) Link: Charter for the Protection and Management of the Archaeological Heritage - Charter for the Protection and Management of the Underwater Cultural Heritage (Sofia, 1996) Link: Charter for the Protection and Management of the Underwater Cultural Heritage - International Charter on Cultural Tourism (Mexico, 1999) Link: International Charter on Cultural Tourism - Charter on the Built Vernacular Heritage (Mexico, 1999) Link: Charter on the Built Vernacular heritage - Athens Charter for the Restoration of Historic Monuments Link: Athens Charter for the Restoration of Historic Monuments - Normas de Quito Link: Normas de Quito, 1967

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is adequate coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

5.10 - Comments

The Kingdom of the Netherlands consists of four separate and independent countries. European Netherlands and Caribbean Curacao are two of these. Each country has its own legal system and policies. Therefore laws and regulations in the Netherlands differ from those in Curacao.

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is effective cooperation between principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

6.5 - Comments

No comment

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

- The Future of World Heritage. The Netherlands and the UNESCO World Heritage Convention / 2012 National Both (http://dare.uvu.vu.nl/bitstream/handle/1871/38365/We_strik_FutureWorldHeritage_webversion.pdf;jsessionid=EDC605AC406C67431F724A86F99DC73B?sequence=2)
-
-
-

7.3 - Comments

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

National government funds	Major source of fixed term funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of fixed term funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Major source of fixed term funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Not applicable
NGOs (international and / or national)	Major source of sustained funding
Private sector funds	Major source of fixed term funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Not applicable

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

Yes

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

No

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

8.6 - Comments

Private owners deliver the main resources for conservation and restoration of heritage.

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

- Nationaal Restauratiefonds: Heritage in practice / National / Cultural

9.2 - Training needs

Conservation	Medium priority
Education	Medium priority
Promotion	Medium priority
Interpretation	Medium priority
Administration	Medium priority
Visitor management	Medium priority
Community outreach	Medium priority
Risk preparedness	High priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium priority
Other	Not applicable

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

9.4 - Comments

No comment

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others

No

10.3 - Comments

No comment

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

Information

Awareness Raising
National

11.1.2 - Films / TV

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

Information
Awareness Raising
National

11.1.4 - Internet

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

Information
Awareness Raising
National

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National
Regional
Local

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

Information
Education
National

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

Awareness Raising
National

11.1.9 - Comments

By the end of 2012 a new coin of €5 was introduced: "Grachtegordel Vijfje". This coin depicts the canals of Amsterdam. This is the first of a serie of coins about the Netherlands World Heritage sites.

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

Private Sector	Poor
Youth	Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not Applicable
General public	Poor

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party participates in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Once
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Occasionally
Youth Forums	Once
Skills-training courses for students	Once
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Occasionally
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Once
Other (comment below)	Never

11.2.5 - Comments

The state party intends to enhance its activities regarding education, information and awareness building.

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

Identification of heritage
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

National Inventories
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated

12.1.3 - Tentative List

Tentative List
No

12.1.4 - Legal framework

Legal framework
There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

Implementation of international conventions within national policies

12.1.6 - Communities

Communities
Local communities / residents: Poor
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Not applicable
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Poor
Local communities / residents: Good
Indigenous peoples: Not applicable
Landowners: Fair

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

Larger-scale planning
There are policies that are effectively implemented.

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

Scientific and Technical Studies and Research
There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

12.1.10 - Financial status

Financial status
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

12.1.11 - Human resources

Human resources
Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

12.1.12 - Training

Training
There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation
Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

Education, Information and awareness building
Private Sector : Poor
Youth : Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

b

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

No

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

The questions sometimes are not in line with the answers. In some cases there is a yes/no question

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Not applicable
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
Advisory Bodies	Fair
State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair

13.6 - Comments

No comment