Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Holy See

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention 07/10/1982

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Alessandra Uncini Vatican Museums Registrar of Collections Inventario Generale
- Maria Serlupi Crescenzi Vatican Museums Chief of Educational Dpt

Comment

The only government Authority responsible for the implementation of the Convention is: Secretariat of State Holy See 00120 Vatican City State +39 06 6988 3014 Fax: +39 06 6988 5364 Email: rapportistati@sds.va

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

•

1.6 - Comments

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process well- advanced
Regional / provincial / state	Not applicable
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Not applicable
Regional / provincial / state	Not applicable
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified <u>cultural</u> heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

Section I-Holy See

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified <u>natural</u> heritage?

No inventories / lists / registers have been established for natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

The entire territory of the Vatican City State is inscribed on the World Heritage List. Therefore it is not possible to draw any Tentative List. There is no natural heritage within the site.

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

None of the above

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Not applicable
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants / experts	Not applicable
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Not applicable

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

No

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

No

3.7 - Comments

The entire territory of the Vatican City State is inscribed on the World Heritage List. Therefore it is not possible to draw any Tentative List.

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Historic Centre of Rome	1979-03-21	inscribed
Historic Centre of Rome, the Properties of the Holy See in that City Enjoying Extraterritorial Rights and San Paolo Fuori le Mura	1990-07-10	inscribed
Vatican City	1983-08-01	inscribed

Comment

Delete no. 91 Historic Centre of Rome; the Holy See is involved only since 1990, for 91bis

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants / experts	Not applicable
Site manager / coordinator	Not applicable

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	Some benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	Not applicable
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	Not applicable
Improved presentation of sites	Not applicable
Enhanced honour / prestige	Not applicable
Increased funding	Not applicable
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Not applicable
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Not applicable
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	Not applicable
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Not applicable
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

4.4 - Comments

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

Comment

Law for the Preservation of the Cultural Heritage, No. CCCLV (25/07/2001) and relative Statutory Role no. CCCLVI (26/07/2001)

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

5.3 - Comment

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict, The Hague 1954 (Hague Convention 1954) Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict, The Hague 1954 (Hague Protocol 1954) European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage London 1969 (London Convention 1969)

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is adequate coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are no specific policies, but nonetheless this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.

5.10 - Comments

Re. question 5.8: there is no local community

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is excellent capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

6.5 - Comments

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

•

7.3 - Comments

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

orr courses or runaning	
National government funds	Major source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Not applicable
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Not applicable
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Not applicable
NGOs (international and / or national)	Not applicable
Private sector funds	Not applicable
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

Yes

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

Yes

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is acceptable to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.

Section I-Holy See

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

8.6 - Comments

Funds for the conservation and protection of the properties are provided for in the annual budget of each Institution in charge of the management of portions of the sites.

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

- Pontifical Gregorian University / international / cultural courses of higher studies for the Cultural heritage of the Church
- Pontifical Institute for Christian Archaeology / international / cultural courses of higher studies for Christian Antiquities
- Vatican Apostolic Library / international / cultural courses for Palaeography and Diplomatics

9.2 - Training needs

Conservation	Very low priority
Education	Very low priority
Promotion	Very low priority
Interpretation	Very low priority
Administration	Very low priority
Visitor management	Very low priority
Community outreach	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Low priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Very low priority
Other	Not applicable

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

9.4 - Comments

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

ion cooperation with other states i artise	
Sharing expertise for capacity building	
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars	
Other (please specify in 10.3)	

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others No

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

10.3 - Comments

10.1.10: Several of the cultural institutions of the Holy See as well as some of the Pontifical Universities, promote international meetings and scholarships. Cooperation with Italy for the drafts of retrospective statement of OUV and of Management Plan.

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

Information	
Education	
International	

11.1.2 - Films / TV

Information	
Education	
International	

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

Not applicable	

11.1.4 - Internet

Information	
Education	
International	

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

		_	•	•
Info	ormation			
Inte	ernational	•		

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

Not applicable

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

Not applicable

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

Not applicable

11.1.9 - Comments

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

Private Sector	Not Applicable

Section I-Holy See

Youth	Not Applicable
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Fair
Tourism industry	Not Applicable
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not Applicable
General public	Not Applicable

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Never
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Never
Youth Forums	Never
Skills-training courses for students	Never
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Never
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Never
Other (comment below)	Never

11.2.5 - Comments

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

Identification of heritage

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

Inventories / lists / registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

National Inventories	
National : Process well-advanced	
Regional / provincial / state : Not applicable	
National : Not applicable	
Regional / provincial / state : Not applicable	

12.1.3 - Tentative List

Tentative List	
No	

12.1.4 - Legal framework

Legal framework

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

Implementation of international conventions within national policies

12.1.6 - Communities

Communities	
	_

Local communities / residents: Not applicable

Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Not applicable

Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Not applicable

Local communities / residents: Not applicable

Indigenous peoples: Not applicable

Landowners: Not applicable

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

Larger-scale planning

There are no specific policies, but nonetheless this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

12.1.10 - Financial status

Financial status

The available budget is acceptable to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs

12.1.11 - Human resources

Human resources

Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

12.1.12 - Training

Training

Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation

Sharing expertise for capacity building

Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars

Other (please specify in 10.3)

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

Education, Information and awareness building

Private Sector

Not Applicable

Youth

: Not Applicable

Communities living in/around heritage sites

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

5 Gen	eral Policy Devel	opment						
		Action	Short description	Aut	hority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	In fre	ay this action require ternational Assistance om the World Heritage und?
5.7	There is adequate coordination and integration.	no action needed	no action needed	no a	action needed	no action needed	nc)
9 Trai	ning							
9.3	Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.	capacity in the field of heritage conservation is for adequate	no action needed		no action needed	no action needed		no

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Yes

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Not applicable
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
Advisory Bodies	Fair
State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Not applicable

13.6 - Comments

14. Thank you