Mr Kishore Rao
Director, UNESCO World Heritage Centre
CC:
Lithuanian National Commission for UNESCO
Permanent Delegation of the Republic of Lithuania to UNESCO

World Heritage Centre
UNESCO
7, Place de Fontenoy
75352 Paris 07 SP
France

REGARDING A SUMMARY OF THE PROGRESS REPORT FROM THE STATE PARTY - LITHUANIA

Dear Mr Kishore Rao,

We enclose herewith a summary of the Progress Report on the implementation of the World Heritage Committee's Decision 38 COM 7B.28 and agree to make a full report available for public access and to be uploaded on the official website.

Please, accept the assurance of my highest consideration.

Yours faithfully,

Linas Jonauskas
Vice-minister
Summary of the Progress Report from the State Party – Lithuania to the World Heritage Centre on the implementation of the World Heritage Committee’s Decision 38 COM 7B.28 2015

The Curonian Spit (Lithuania/Russian Federation) (C 994)
Name of World Heritage Property (State Party) (Identification number)

Following the World Heritage Committee’s Decision 38 COM 7B.28 (hereinafter – the Decision), an ICOMOS reactive monitoring mission (hereinafter – the Mission) to the property was organized on 19–22 January 2015. The Mission considered the preliminary plans to construct a suspension bridge from Klaipėda across the Lagoon to the Spit; construction of a liquefied gas terminal outside Klaipėda and a proposed construction of a deep sea port at Klaipėda.

1. According to the paragraph 5 of the Decision 38 COM 7B.28 regarding Liquefied Natural gas (hereinafter – LNG) terminal outside Klaipėda (Lithuania).

LNG terminal is located within Klaipėda port territory, outside the UNESCO property boundaries and outside the Kuršių Nerija (Curonian Spit) National Park. During Lithuanian LNG terminal implementation both Strategic Environmental Impact Assessment (hereinafter – SEIA) and Environmental Impact Assessment (hereinafter – EIA) had been carried out to ensure that the LNG terminal during both construction and operation phases does not cause any negative impact on the environment as well as on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property or the environment of Curonian Spit in all project phases. The Heritage Impact Assessment comprises the part of the EIA. The EIA concluded that no adverse significant impact on the protected areas, as well as on the Outstanding Universal Value of the Property, as well as on landscape, cultural heritage objects, environmental air, surface water, underground water resources, soil and subsoil, fauna and flora, social environment and public health would be caused during construction and operation phases of the LNG terminal. All required impact mitigations measures have been implemented.

2. In paragraph 7 of the Decision 38 COM 7B.28 regarding a deep sea port of Klaipėda (Lithuania).

Responding to the statement above, Lithuania would like to assure that a decision regarding the location of the deep sea port of Klaipėda only will be taken, when Master Plan of Klaipėda port and off-shore will be prepared and supported by appropriate environmental assessment procedures, public hearings and consultations. The Government of the Republic of Lithuania initiated the Master plan of Klaipėda port in 2014. We assume that all required procedures will last approximately two years and we expect to take this decision not earlier then at the end of 2016 or early 2017.

3. In paragraph 6 of the Decision 38 COM 7B.28 regarding the preliminary ideas to construct a suspension bridge from Klaipėda across the Lagoon to the Spit.

Lithuania underlines that there are no studies, plans nor decisions taken about the feasibility to construct such a bridge, there is only a discussion in mass media. The construction of a bridge connecting Klaipėda city and the Curonian Spit is not included in any strategic or territorial planning documents of the Republic of Lithuania. In this regard, Neringa municipality initiated preparation of the mobility plan of the municipality in 2014. Within its scope existing planning documents, transportation and mobility needs will be analysed and appropriate recommendations for possible modifications of transportation modes will be provided. The Mobility Plan is a subject to SEIA procedure where state and local authorities as well as the public will be involved.

We would like to assure you that Lithuania in implementing projects always has a goal to avoid any negative impact on Curonian Spit. Each project is carefully treated from the environmental perspective and discussed with stakeholders, including the public, before decision on investment is made. It is our strategic goal and principle.
Mr Kishore Rao  
Director, UNESCO World Heritage Centre  
CC: 
Lithuanian National Commission for UNESCO  
Permanent Delegation of the Republic of Lithuania to UNESCO

World Heritage Centre  
UNESCO  
7, Place de Fontenoy  
75352 Paris 07 SP  
France

REGARDING THE PROGRESS REPORT FROM THE SATE PARTY - LITHUANIA

Dear Mr Kishore Rao,

Seizing the opportunity, I would like to thank you for assisting us in the implementation of the World Heritage Committee’s Decision 38 COM 7B.28 with a view to organizing an ICOMOS reactive monitoring mission to the Property.

We enclose herewith the Progress Report on the implementation of the World Heritage Committee’s Decision 38 COM 7B.28.

Please, accept the assurance of my highest consideration.

Yours faithfully,

Linas Jonauskas  
Vice-minister
State Party – Lithuania informs that following the World Heritage Committee’s Decision 38 COM 7B.28 (hereinafter – the Decision), an ICOMOS reactive monitoring mission (hereinafter – the Mission) to the property was organized on 19–22 January 2015. According to Terms of Reference the Mission was arranged to consider the preliminary plans to construct a suspension bridge from Klaipėda across the Lagoon to the Spit; construction of a liquefied gas terminal outside Klaipėda and a proposed construction of a deep sea port at Klaipėda.

1. According to the paragraph 5 of the Decision 38 COM 7B.28 regarding Liquefied Natural gas (hereinafter – LNG) terminal outside Klaipėda (Lithuania).

During Lithuanian LNG terminal implementation both Strategic Environmental Impact Assessment (hereinafter – SEIA) and Environmental Impact Assessment (hereinafter – EIA) of the LNG terminal and other permits according Lithuanian law and international requirements had been carried out to ensure that the LNG terminal during both construction and operation phases does not cause any negative impact onto environment as well as on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property or the environment of Curonian Spit in all project phases.

Lithuania wishes to stress that LNG terminal is located within Klaipėda port territory and outside the UNESCO property boundaries and outside the Kuršių Nerija (Curonian Spit) National Park.

The assessment of impact on the World Heritage Property is an integral part of the appropriate SEIA and EIA procedures entitled in applicable national and EU legislation. The assessment information, findings, conclusions and recommendations if applicable are provided in all related documentation. Accordingly, three territory alternatives for the placement of the LNG terminal and related infrastructure had been investigated by the feasibility study and SEIA and two of them during the next stage in the EIA study.

The EIA of the LNG terminal was carried out in 2011–2012. The Heritage Impact Assessment has been carried out in accordance with Guidance on Heritage Impact Assessments for Cultural World Heritage Properties (ICOMOS, 2011) and comprises the part of the EIA. The results of Heritage Impact Assessment are included in the EIA Report. All documents are public and available in both languages – Lithuanian and English on the special section at the above mentioned website http://www.sgd.lt.

The EIA concluded that no adverse significant impact on the protected areas, as well as on the Outstanding Universal Value of the Property as well as on landscape, cultural heritage objects, environmental air, surface water, underground water resources, soil and subsoil, fauna and flora, social environment and public health would be caused during construction and operation phases of the LNG terminal.

We would like to draw your attention to the fact that the Working Group of EIA and respective process involved the best national heritage and environmental protection experts and all relevant public institutions. All 14 state institutions, including State Service for Protected Areas,
Ministry of Environment and Directorate of Kuršiu Nerija (Curonian Spit) National Park, endorsed EIA conclusions. NGO’s are very active, discussions are on constant basis. The final EIA Report was approved by the national authorized institution in October 2012 and an appropriate environmental decision was issued. The decision provides specific environmental and safety requirements to be followed during construction and operation of the LNG terminal and related infrastructure in Klaipėda port and onshore. All required impact mitigations measures have been implemented.

2. In paragraph 7 of the Decision 38 COM 7B.28 regarding a deep sea port of Klaipėda (Lithuania).

Responding to the statement above, Lithuania would like to assure that a decision regarding the location of the deep sea port of Klaipėda only will be taken, when Master Plan of Klaipėda port and off-shore will be prepared and supported by appropriate environmental assessment procedures, public hearings and consultations.

The Government of the Republic of Lithuania initiated the Master plan of Klaipėda port on July 2014. We assume that all required procedures will last approximately two years and we expect to take this decision not earlier then at the end of 2016 or early 2017.

Decision regarding possible extension of Klaipeda Port in the sea might be taken only after an updated Master Plan of Klaipeda Port will be prepared (expected period of completion – early 2017), as decided by the Government of Lithuania in July of 2014. In any case port development options will be carefully treated from various perspectives, including social and environmental consequences, impact on the cultural heritage, health issues, etc. All communications about any intended developments and appropriate consultations with local and state stakeholders will be respected as provided in the national legislation and international agreements.

Lithuania would like to reiterate the basic information on the processes related to the development of Klaipėda port including deep sea port.

By the Resolution on 29 October 2002 No IX-1154 the Parliament of the Republic of Lithuania had approved the Comprehensive Plan of the Territory of the Republic of Lithuania. In section 5.1 of the Plan it is decided to modernize the infrastructure of the Klaipėda port, to construct deep sea port if such a development will be proved and confirmed by special feasibility study.

It is important to emphasize that the Comprehensive Plan of the Territory of the Republic of Lithuania is currently being revised and supplemented with its marine part. Planning process has been undertaken under the supervision and coordination of the Ministry of Environment. All documentation of the Plan and the SEIA is available on the website of the Ministry of Environment http://www.am.lt/VI/index.php#r/1558 with a summary of essential information in English. We would like to draw your attention that the supplementation of the Comprehensive Plan of the Territory of the Republic of Lithuania with the marine part provides several territorial alternatives for construction of the deep sea port – off-shore close to Klaipėda and close to Būtingė which is 35 kilometres north from Klaipėda. As required by the national and international legislation situation analysis has been carried out, concept proposals have been prepared and approved, the SEIA Report has been prepared taking into account comments from relevant authorities and the public. All these documents have been made publicly available on the website of the Ministry of Environment since January 2013 and presented to the public in Vilnius and Klaipėda at the special information events.

Environmental concerns and sustainable development of the port infrastructure was an absolute priority of port and national authorities. Each and every project is carefully treated from the
environmental perspective and carefully discussed with stakeholders, including the public, before
decision on investment is made.

3. In paragraph 6 of the Decision 38 COM 7B.28 regarding the preliminary ideas to
construct a suspension bridge from Klaipėda across the Lagoon to the Spit.

Lithuania wishes to stress that there are no studies, plans nor decisions taken about the
feasibility to construct such a bridge, there is only a discussion in mass media. The construction of a
bridge connecting Klaipėda city and the Curonian Spit is not included in any strategic or territorial
planning documents of the Republic of Lithuania, just opposite – an inter-institutional working
group, led by the Ministry of Transport, adopted a recommendation to municipalities of Klaipėda
and Neringa to look for better alternatives than bridge.

On this occasion we would like to reiterate main information and the position of Lithuania
sent to the World Heritage Centre.

In December 2013, the Minister of Transport and Communications of the Republic of
Lithuania established a Working Group commissioned to analyze the issues related to the possible
construction of a bridge to the Curonian Spit and to assess the alternatives for the transfer of the
Smiltyne ferry from its current place in the Klaipėda port (hereinafter – the Working Group).
Representatives from both the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry of Transport and
Communications, from the municipalities of Klaipėda city and Neringa, other state authorities were
involved.

In December 2013 – April 2014, the Working Group held several meetings and considered
only theoretical proposals on the construction of a bridge to the Curonian Spit. In January 2014,
Neringa municipality held a special Municipality Council meeting at which the question on the
construction of a bridge and the position of the Municipality’s representatives on these issues in the
Working Group were discussed. As the positions of the representatives were highly divergent on the
issue there was no possibility to reach an agreement on this in the Working Group. The Working
Group provided only a suggestion for the municipalities of Klaipėda city and Neringa to further
analyse mobility issues and traffic situation in this area. In this regard and in line with mobility and
environmental policy of the EU, Neringa municipality has initiated preparation of the mobility plan
of the municipality. The mobility plan was contracted in August 2014 and intended to be prepared in
a period of one year. Within its scope existing planning documents, transportation and mobility
needs will be analysed and appropriate recommendations for possible modifications of
transportation modes will be provided. The Mobility Plan is a subject to SEIA procedure where state
and local authorities as well as the public will be involved.

Lithuania would like to underline that current status of the discussion on the bridge is “a
public dispute in mass media” only, a picture of a San Francisco’s Golden Gate like “large
suspension bridge” crossing Curonian Lagoon was drafted by journalists and multiplied in the
national media to illustrate ongoing discussion only, thus no decision on the construction of a bridge
has been taken and no actions need to be stopped in this respect.

Other relevant information:
With the purpose to strengthen Kuršių Nerija (Curonian Spit) National Park forest
protection, forest fire control and ensure best forest management practices, it is decided starting
from 2015 to alter the administrative system of Curonian Spit forests. It is foreseen to transfer forest
governance, protection and management functions to Kretvinga State Forest Enterprise. It has to be
noted, that all forest management activities will be carried out according to Kuršių Nerija (Curonian Spit) National Park Management Plan, approved by Government of the Republic of Lithuania in 2012 and the Forest Management Plan for 2013-2020, approved by the Minister of Environment in 2013.

Kuršių Nerija (Curonian Spit) National Park initiates discussion about the possibility to rebuild former Amber Excavation Company “Stantien&Becker” which buildings that century were situated in the Amber bay in Juodkrantė in the second part of 19th. The activity of that company had a huge impact on the development of a local fishermen village into a popular resort place and till now the imprints of its work can be seen in landscape. If the scientific research validates the possibility to rebuild this former complex only then Kuršių Nerija (Curonian Spit) National Park and Neringa municipality will start a planning process. These buildings constructed in style of former complex of Amber Excavation Company would serve as the Visitors and Exposition Centre where visitors could learn more about the history of this site and also actively participate in the education process. We would like to mention that the historical research is going on. There is no SEIA, EIA and HIA have been done yet, because of a very initial stage.

We would like to assure you that Lithuania in implementing projects always has a goal to avoid any negative impact to Curonian Spit. Each project is carefully treated from the environmental perspective and discussed with stakeholders, including the public, before decision on investment be made. It is our strategic goal and principle.