

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Rome, the Properties of the Holy See in that City Enjoying Extraterritorial Rights and San Paolo Fuori le Mura

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Holy See
- Italy

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

91bis

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1980, 1990

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Historic Centre of Rome , Italy	41.89 / 12.492	1446.2	?	1446.2	1980
Complesso dei San Giovanni in Laterano (Basilica, Palazzo Apostolico Lateranense, edifici annessi) , Holy See	41.886 / 12.507	7.59	?	7.59	1990
Complesso della Scala Santa , Holy See	41.886 / 12.507	1.01	?	1.01	1990
Complesso di Santa Maria Maggiore (Basilica, edifici annessi) , Holy See	41.897 / 12.499	1.04	?	1.04	1990
Palazzo di San Callisto, in Trastevere , Holy See	41.89 / 12.47	2.14	?	2.14	1990
Edifici su via Sant'Egidio , Holy See	41.89 / 12.47	0.07	?	0.07	1990
Palazzo della Cancelleria , Holy See	41.897 / 12.472	0.54	?	0.54	1990
Palazzo di Propaganda Fide, in Piazza di Spagna , Holy See	41.904 / 12.484	0.48	?	0.48	1990
Palazzo Maffei (Palazzo della Pigna) , Holy See	41.897 / 12.478	0.31	?	0.31	1990
Palazzo dei Convertendi , Holy See	41.903 / 12.461	0.53	?	0.53	1990

Palazzo detto dei Propilei (nord) , Holy See	41.903 / 12.459	0.52	?	0.52	1990
Palazzo detto dei Propilei (sud) , Holy See	41.903 / 12.459	0.36	?	0.36	1990
Palazzo Pio , Holy See	41.903 / 12.464	0.65	?	0.65	1990
Immobili sul Gianicolo , Holy See	41.898 / 12.458	17.97	?	17.97	1990
Palazzo del Santo Uffizio , Holy See	41.901 / 12.456	0.4	?	0.4	1990
Basilica di San Paolo fuori le Mura , Holy See	41.859 / 12.476	5.33	?	5.33	1990
Total (ha)		1485.14	0	1485.14	

Comment

the coordinates of the site do not coincide with the information published in the WHC site (http://whc.unesco.org/en/list/91/multiple=1&unique_number=98) The state parties are currently working out a procedure of minor modification of boundary. 91-002 Complesso di San Giovanni in Laterano

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing the inscribed property	01/12/2009	

Comment

The map is being updated. The state parties are currently working out a procedure of minor modification of boundary.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Maria Serlupi Crescenzi
Vatican Museums
Chief of Educational Dpt
- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

Comment

For the Holy See the only government Authority responsible for the property is: Secretariat of State - Holy See 00120 Vatican City State Telephone: +39 06 6988 3014 Fax: +39 06 6988 5364 Email: rapportistati@sds.va

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Alessandra Uncini
Vatican Museums
Registrar of Collections
Inventario Generale

Comment

Add: Claudio Parisi Presicce Sovrintendente Sovrintendenza Capitolina Piazza Lovatelli, 35 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67103238 Fax: +39 06 6869117 email: claudio.parisipresicce@comune.roma.it

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Soprintendenza Archeologica di Roma](#)
3. [Comune di Roma](#)
4. [Roma OnLine](#)
5. [Portal to the Heritage of Astronomy](#)

Comment

http://www.vatican.va/various/basiliche/index_it.html
<http://www.museopropagandafide.va/>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict, The Hague 1954 (Hague Convention 1954)
Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict, The Hague 1954 (Hague Protocol 1954) European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage London 1969 (London Convention 1969)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

retrospective statement of OUV approved with Decision 38COM 8E

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value


































3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	  				
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	    				
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	  				
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	  				
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	  				
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.5	Terrorism	   				
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake	  				
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	  				
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

A minor modification of the boundaries of the property is currently under preparation. There is a buffer zone de facto but the proposal has not yet been sent to the WHC

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

For the Italian authorities, the protection of such an extensive and complex historic centre is based on specific regulations and provisions, ensuring that they are complied with. The entire protection regulatory apparatus may be subdivided into two macro-categories: the protection instruments for the entire historic centre; and the protection instruments for single areas and monuments. As regards the former, the main regulations concerning the entire historic centre are mainly five. The Town planning, in particular the New Town Planning Scheme, is currently in the approval phase. Particular attention and recognition of historic quality have been extended from the historic centre alone (1,500 hectares) to a vaster area (6,500

hectares), now called the "Historic City". The "botteghe storiche" (historical shops) are protected by the Resolutions no. 139 of 1997 and 187 of 2003 of the City of Rome. The General Urban Traffic Plan, drafted by the City of Rome and approved in June 1999, is a short-term policy instrument that outlines the strategies for public and private mobility, committing the Administration to planning the measures on the basis of an organic plan. Prevention measures against the risk of flooding by the Tiber River have been adopted through Law no. 183 of 1989, "Provision for functional reorganization to preserve the territory". Finally, the Executive Decision no. 786 of 25/9/2002 established the Urban Décor Organizational Unit. The main provision concerning the protection of the single contexts and monuments is Legislative Decree no. 42 of 22 January 2004, "Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code"; the national law that envisages the identification of the assets of historic artistic, archaeological, architectural, and landscape interest, which are placed under special forms of protection. As regards the protective measures for the site, the Italian Superintendence for archaeological heritage of Rome has conducted a large campaign to impose new direct protection obligations on two levels: on individual complexes exposed by chance during public or private works or during planned archaeological research; on the areas that are still free that have not yet been excavated but are certainly sites of archaeological interest.

Concerning ownership and use, numerous changes have occurred over the years in the historic centre. The Italian State has acquired important monument complexes from private sources to incorporate into the existing museum system; banks, offices, large multinationals and commercial businesses have established their offices in buildings in the historic centre, replacing the old owners and, in some cases, bringing about the removal of "historic workshops" and artisan businesses, which also has a social impact. With reference to the Vatican legislation, the sites are under protection of the Law for the Preservation of the Cultural Heritage, No. CCCLV (25/07/2001) and the relative Statutory Role No. CCCLVI (26/07/2001). There was no change to ownership in recent years.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, November 28, 2005

• Question 6.02

The sites are under protection of the Law for the Preservation of the Cultural Heritage, No. CCCLV (25/07/2001) and the relative Statutory Role No. CCCLVI (26/07/2001), whose texts were attached to the hard copy of Section I.

Comment

For the Italian State, several laws and regulations have been issued since the first cycle of the PR: Law February 20th, 2006, no. 77 "Special provisions for the preservation and use of the UNESCO properties" Territorial and Landscape Regional Plan, adopted in 2007 Rome Town Planning, in force since March 14th, 2008

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Even if there is no official buffer zone, the legal and regulatory protection is extended to an area that is much wider than that of the site (the so called "Historic City")

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Efforts have been made by the Italian authorities to identify the organisations that should make up the steering group for the drafting of the management plan of the UNESCO site. This operation is particularly difficult because of the inherent complexity of the site. Plans have also been made to prepare a management plan, whose implementation was foreseen to commence in December 2008.

No management plan has been planned by the Vatican authorities so far.

The levels of public authority who are primarily involved with the management of the site for the Italian side are national; regional; local; Holy See, public and private institutions. As concerns the Vatican side the level is national: the Statutory Role for the Officials in charge of the extra- territorial areas requires the civil servants in said areas to be responsible of the preservation of the cultural heritage.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, November 28, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group":
None

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation

Comment

A preparatory study for the Management Plan was completed in 2008 ("Verso il Piano di Gestione"). In 2009 an ad hoc

Commission was set up; the Commission is currently working on the final draft of the Management Plan

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

A management plan has been drafted but not yet sent to the WHC

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

No management system / plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

question 4.3.7.2: the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff are the local/municipal authorities
Question 4.3.10: not applicable; there are no industrial activities within the site or in the surrounding area

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	5%
International donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	5%
Governmental (National / Federal)	40%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	5%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	30%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	15%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No assistance required

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	90%
Part-time	10%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	95%
Volunteer	5%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High

Enforcement (custodians, police)	High
----------------------------------	------

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor

Local businesses and industries	Poor
---------------------------------	------

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **not influenced** education, information or awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

<http://www.ontit.it/ont/> <http://www.istat.it/it/>
<http://www.ebtl.it/osservatorio-turistico-doc.html>

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property

which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	(i) (iii) (iv) traffic pressure	enlargement of pedestrian areas; enlargement of limited traffic zone	in place	5 years	Roma Capitale no comments
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	(i) (iii) (iv) tourism pressure localized mainly in some areas of property	promotion of alternative tourist routes inside and outside the site	in place	5 years	Roma Capitale; MiBACT; tourism industry no comments

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	the buffer zone has been identified and will be finalized shortly	3 years	Roma Capitale; Holy See no comments		
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	programs for awareness raising; this is also one of the goals in the draft management plan	5 years	Roma Capitale; Holy See no comments		
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.4	No management system / plan is currently in place	a bilateral technical commission is currently working on the draft for the management plan	3 years	Roma Capitale; Holy See no comments		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	not applicable; there are no industrial activities within the site or in the surrounding area	not relevant	not relevant no comments		
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.4	World Heritage status has not influenced education, information or awareness building activities	Awareness building programs are starting in schools	5 years	Roma Capitale; Associazione Beni Italiani Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO; Commissione Nazionale UNESCO Italia no comments		

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

no comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

no comments

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: retrospective statement of OUV approved with Decision 38COM 8E

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: the coordinates of the site do not coincide with the information published in the WHC site (http://whc.unesco.org/en/list/91/multiple=1&unique_number=98) The state parties are currently working out a procedure of minor modification of boundary. 91-002 Complesso di San Giovanni in Laterano

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The map is being updated. The state parties are currently working out a procedure of minor modification of boundary.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

no comments