

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Kernavė Archaeological Site (Cultural Reserve of Kernavė)

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Lithuania

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

1137


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2004

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Kernavė Archaeological Site (Cultural Reserve of Kernavė)	54.888 / 24.831	194.4	2455.2	2649.6	2004
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>194.4</b>	<b>2455.2</b>	<b>2649.6</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Kernavė Archaeological Site - inscribed property	07/07/2004	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Algimantas Degutis  
Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture; National Coordinator for Cultural Heritage of Lithuania  
Deputy Director

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Saulius Vadišis  
Administration of the State Culture Reserve of Kernavė  
Director

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](http://www.kernave.org)

#### Comment

Web site: <http://www.kernave.org>

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage (Revised). Ratified 07/12/1999, entered into force 08/06/2000. In 2011 the Cultural Reserve /Kernavė Archaeological Site was included on the list of cultural valuables of enhanced protection of UNESCO under the Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict with Regulations for the Execution of the

Convention 1954. This provides enhanced protection in the event of military conflict.

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Lithuania submitted the Draft Statement of Outstanding Universal Value to the World Heritage Centre by the letter of 31 August 2012, No.S2-2148. New Draft Statement of Outstanding Universal Value included information required by advisory body ICOMOS.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (iii): The archaeological site of Kernavė presents an exceptional testimony of the evolution of human settlements in the Baltic region in Europe over the period of some ten millennia. The site has exceptional evidence of pantheistic Christian funeral traditions. Criterion (iv): The settlement patterns and the impressive hill-forts represent outstanding examples of the development of such types of structures and the history of their use in the pre-Christian era.

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

New regulations for more effective protection of the site were adopted: Individual Protection Regulation of the Cultural Reserve Buffer Zone (Order of the Minister of Culture, 16/08/2005, No. J V-360). Special Plan (Planning Scheme) of Management of the Cultural Reserve (Decision of Government of the Republic of Lithuania, 08/04/2009, No. 291).

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Lithuania presented the Draft Statement of Outstanding Universal Value to the World Heritage Centre by the letter of 31 August 2012, No.S2-2148. New Draft Statement of Outstanding Universal Value included information required by advisory body ICOMOS.

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Non

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>							
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

the Government of the Republic of Lithuania No. 1745, 5 November 2002.

**Comment**

Individual Protection Regulation of the Cultural Reserve Buffer Zone (Order of the Minister of Culture, 16/08/2005, No. V-360). Special Plan (Planning Scheme) of Management of the Cultural Reserve (Decision of Government of the Republic of Lithuania, 08/04/2009, No. 291).

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

Necessary legal framework for protection of the Site is in place and Site Administration has necessary capacity and resources for enforcement of legislation.

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

**Note WHC (July 2012):**

**If a more recent management plan is in force, please submit 2 paper and electronic copies to WHC. The submission should be accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC. Thank you for your cooperation. Please carefully review and update the information provided below.**

The management of the Cultural Reserve is the responsibility of the Administration of the Cultural Reserve, which consists of a professional director and vice director, assisted by a small

**3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property**

**3.17.1 - Comments**

There are no major factors affecting the property in negative way.

**4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property**

**4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones**

**4.1.1 - Buffer zone status**

**There is a buffer zone**

**4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?**

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

**4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

**4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

The boundaries of the Site and Buffer Zone are adequate for protection of OUV of the Site.

**4.2. Protective Measures**

**4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)**

**Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review and update the information provided below.**

The land of the Cultural Reserve (194.4 ha) is in State ownership.


The area is protected by various legal systems and general master plans, including the Law on Protected Areas (IX - 628, 4 December 2001).

The purpose, protection and usage of the State Cultural Reserve of Kernavė are set forth by the Regulations of the State Cultural Reserve of Kernavė approved by the decision of

but efficient team. It is the sole management authority which, after a recent change, answers directly to the Ministry of Culture.

There is a precise buffer zone, divided into a zone called the sub zone of physical protection and the sub zone of visual protection. Regarding the decisions affecting the park or the buffer zone, there is close liaison with the county/district inspector from the Department of Cultural Heritage. The tasks of the Cultural Reserve as set forth in the Management Plan are in accordance with the requirements of the World Heritage Committee. The site museum is managed by a professional director, who is member of the park staff, as part of the park management.

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan of Kernavė Archaeological Site (Cultural Reserve of Kernavė)	N/A	Available	23/01/2003	

#### Comment

Individual Protection Regulation of the Cultural Reserve Buffer Zone (Order of the Minister of Culture, 16/08/2005, No. IV-360). Special Plan (Planning Scheme) of Management of the Cultural Reserve (Decision of Government of the Republic of Lithuania, 08/04/2009, No. 291).

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

#### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have

#### input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

#### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

#### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

#### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The Site has necessary management system to ensure the protection of Outstanding Universal Value of the Site. Management system is based on legal instruments approved by the Parliament and the Government.

#### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No significant changes in legal status of the property.

### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

#### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	92%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	7%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1%
Other grants	

#### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

#### Comment

No International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

The Site is financed by the State. Available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs. There are some adequate equipment and facilities, but there is a need for non-interventional geophysical archaeological research equipment. Existing equipment and facilities are well maintained.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	93%
Part-time	7%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	94%
Seasonal	6%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	98%
Volunteer	2%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair

Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	High
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

A capacity development plan and programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally. There is need of some improvement of skills related to visitor management, tourism, interpretation and community outreach.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Archaeological research from 2008 to 2012 reports publications in periodical publication "Archeologiniai tyrinėjimai Lietuvoje" (Archaeological Research in Lithuania). 11 articles in total. Resumes – in English. Vengalis R. Iron Age Settlement in Kernavė: Long Lasting Settlement Reflections in Archaeological Material. In: Lietuvos archeologija. T. 38, Vilnius, 2012, p. 175-220.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value. Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient. Strategy of scientific research of the Site in recent years switched from interventional to non- interventional methods and analysis of already available material.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

There is excellent presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property which is implemented by Archaeological Site Museum. Museum made the Outstanding Universal Value of property (prehistory archaeological site) more understandable and visible.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Static

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Other

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

Regulation of Usage and Visiting of State Kernavė Archaeological Site approved by the Order of the Minister of Culture 11/04/2005 No.IV-119.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

Visitor number increase during last five years is minor and do not effect negatively the Outstanding Universal Value of the Site. Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed, visitor flows are monitored and improvements of the infrastructure of visitor management (trails, open air exhibition, information system etc.) are planned and being implemented.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

No recommendations received from the World Heritage Committee

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for defining and monitoring of state of conservation of the property. There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	No actions are necessary because there are no industries which are active nor inside the property neither in the buffer zone.	Not applicable	Administration of State Cultural Reserve of Kernavė.	This question is not applicable to the State Cultural Reserve of Kernavė because there are no industries which are active nor inside the property neither in the buffer zone. Therefore there is no need of contacts regarding management.



### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved, the integrity of the World Heritage property is intact and The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Impact of the World Heritage status is positive and very positive in all areas.

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local community
External experts
Advisory bodies

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No suggestions

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Lithuania submitted the Draft Statement of Outstanding Universal Value to the World Heritage Centre by the letter of 31 August 2012, No.S2-2148. New Draft Statement of Outstanding Universal Value included information required by advisory body ICOMOS.

#### 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

Periodic Reporting Exercise was useful instrument for self assessment of the quality of management of the property. It

proved that Administration of the Cultural Reserve of Kernavė is taking all necessary steps for protection and proper usage of the property.