UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Thirty second Session

Quebec City, Canada
2 – 10 July 2008

<u>**Item 11.E of the Provisional Agenda**</u>: **Reflection on the preparation of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting**

INF.11E: revised Periodic Reporting questionnaires: Section I and Section II

SUMMARY

As requested by the World Heritage Committee (see Decision **30 COM 11G**), this document presents the revised Periodic Reporting questionnaires (Section I and Section II), as elaborated by the Working Group on the simplification of the Periodic Report Questionnaire and setting up of indicators (see Document *WHC-08/32.COM/11E*).

Section I

1.Introduction

1.1 - State Party
Question ID: 263| Result ID: 1117

validate		update	

Comments:

1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance to the Convention
Question ID: 264| Result ID: 1118

validate		update	

Comments:

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report
Question ID: 265| Result ID: 2036

Check as many boxes as apply		
1.3.1	Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage	
1.3.2	UNESCO National Commission	
1.3.3	World Heritage property managers/coordinators	
1.3.4	Non Governmental Organizations	
1.3.5	ICOMOS International	
1.3.6	IUCN international	
1.3.7	ICCROM	
1.3.8	IUCN national/regional	
1.3.9	ICOMOS national/regional	
1.3.10	External experts	
1.3.11	Donors	
1.3.12	Others	

1.4 - Primary government agency/ institution responsible for the implementation of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
Question ID: 270| Result ID: 1119

validate		update	

Comments:

1.5 – Other key institution(s) responsible for the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of Cultural and Natural Heritage

Organisation	
Web address	
Person responsible	
Title	
Address	
Telephone	
Fax	
E-mail	
Scope (national, regional, local)	
Domain (cultural, natural)	

1.6 - Comments

2. Inventories/lists/registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - If the State Party has established inventories/lists/registers of <u>cultural</u> heritage, at what level(s) are they compiled and what is their current status?

Current status	0 Not applicable	1 No process established to compile an inventory/list/register	2 Process commenced	3 Process well-advanced	4 Inventory/list/register completed or continually updated	
2.1.1	National					
2.1.2	Regional / provincial / state					
2.1.3	Local					
2.1.4	Other (please provide details in 2.7)					

2.2 - If the State Party has established inventories/lists/registers of <u>natural</u> heritage, at what level(s) are they compiled and what is their current status?

Current status	0 Not applicable	1 No process established to compile an inventory/list/register	2 Process commenced	3 Process well-advanced	4 Inventory/list/register completed or continually updated	
2.2.1	National					
2.2.2	Regional / provincial / state					

2.2.3	Local	
2.2.4	Other (please provide details in 2.7)	

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?
Question ID: 276| Result ID: 2009

Check only one box		
2.3.1	No inventories/lists/registers have been established for cultural and natural heritage.	
2.3.2	Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.	
2.3.3	Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.	
2.3.4	Inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.	

2.4 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified <u>cultural</u> heritage?
Question ID: 277| Result ID: 2010

Check only one box		
2.4.1	No inventories/lists/registers have been established for cultural heritage.	
2.4.2	Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of cultural heritage.	
2.4.3	Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of cultural heritage.	
2.4.4	Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.	

2.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified <u>natural</u> heritage?
Question ID: 278| Result ID: 2011

Check only one box		
2.5.1	No inventories/lists/registers have been established for natural heritage.	
2.5.2	Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of natural heritage.	
2.5.3	Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of natural heritage.	
2.5.4	Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.	

2.6 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?
Question ID: 279| Result ID: 2012

Check only one box		
2.6.1	No inventories/lists/registers have been established for cultural and natural heritage.	
2.6.2	Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List.	

2.6.3	Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage properties.	
2.6.4	Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage properties.	

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)
Question ID: 280| Result ID: 2013

3.Tentative List

3.1 – In reference to your Tentative List (*link to web page of the Tentative List*), please indicate, as far as possible, the potential timetable for future nominations to the World Heritage List within the next six years
Question ID: 283| Result ID: 1120

Property Name	Anticipated year of nomination (if known)

3.2 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?
Question ID: 284| Result ID: 2014

Check as many as apply		
3.2.1	ICOMOS thematic studies	
3.2.2	IUCN thematic studies	
3.2.3	Gap analysis	
3.2.4	Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region	
3.2.5	UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List	
3.2.6	Other global comparative analysis	
3.2.7	Others (please provide details in 3.7)	
3.2.8	None of the above	

3.3 - Please rate level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List
Question ID: 285| Result ID: 2015

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 No involvement	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
3.3.1	National government institution(s)					
3.3.2	Regional / provincial / state/ government(s)					
3.3.3	Local government(s)					

3.3.4	Other government departments	
3.3.5	UNESCO National Commission	
3.3.6	Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	
3.3.7	Local communities/residents	
3.3.8	Indigenous peoples	
3.3.9	Landowners	
3.3.10	Local industries	
3.3.11	Non Governmental Organization(s)	
3.3.12	Consultants/experts	
3.3.13	Site manager/coordinator	

3.4 - Was the agency/institution listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?
Question ID: 337| Result ID: 2016

Check only one box		
3.4.1	Yes	
3.4.2	No	

3.5 - If not, what agency/institution is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?
Question ID: 286| Result ID: 2508

Organization	
Web address	
Person responsible	
Title	
Address	
Telephone	
Fax	
E-mail	
Domain (cultural, natural)	

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Check only one box

3.6.1	Yes		
3.6.2	No		

3.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Tentative List (questions 3.1 to 3.7)
Question ID: 280| Result ID: 2013

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property
Question ID: 287| Result ID: 1121

ID	Name	Date of submission	Status
validate		update	

Comments:

4.2 - Please rate level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers
Question ID: 288| Result ID: 2017

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 No involvement	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
4.2.1	National government institution(s)					
4.2.2	Regional /provincial/ state government(s)					
4.2.3	Local government(s)					
4.2.4	Other government departments					
4.2.5	UNESCO National Commission					
4.2.6	Local authorities within or adjacent to the property					
4.2.7	Local communities/residents					
4.2.8	Indigenous peoples					
4.2.9	Landowners					
4.2.10	Local industries					
4.2.11	Non Governmental Organization(s)					
4.2.12	Consultants/experts					

4.2.13	Site manager/coordinator	

4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List
Question ID: 290| Result ID: 2018

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable	1 Low benefit	2 Limited benefit	3 Some benefit	4 High benefit
4.3.1	Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)				
4.3.2	Enhanced conservation practices				
4.3.3	Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage				
4.3.4	Improved presentation of sites				
4.3.5	Enhanced honour/prestige				
4.3.6	Increased funding				
4.3.7	Additional tool for lobbying/political influence				
4.3.8	Stimulus for enhanced partnerships				
4.3.9	Increased recognition for tourism and public use				
4.3.10	Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities				
4.3.11	Others (please provide details in 4.4)				

4.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Nominations of properties (Questions 4.1 to 4.3)
Question ID: 291| Result ID: 2038

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage
Question ID: 357| Result ID: 1122

Title	Year	Link to source	
validate		update	

5.2 – If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation and presentation of World Heritage, not listed in 5.1, please add them here
Question ID: 292| Result ID: 2019

Name	

Year	
Scope (national, regional/provincial/state, local)	
Type (cultural, natural)	
Website	

5.3 – Comments: Please use this textbox to describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage.

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?
Question ID: 294| Result ID: 2020

Check only one box		
5.4.1	**There is no legal framework** for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
5.4.2	**The legal framework is inadequate** for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
5.4.3	**The legal framework is adequate** for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?
Question ID: 295| Result ID: 2021

Check only one box		
5.5.1	There is no legal framework.	
5.5.2	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .	
5.5.3	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	
5.5.4	There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .	

5.6 - What other international conventions for the protection of cultural and/or natural heritage has the State Party adhered to?
Question ID: 296| Result ID: 2022

Validate	Update	

Comments:

5.7 - Is the implementation of these international conventions coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?
Question ID: 297| Result ID: 2023

Check only one box		
5.7.1	There is no coordination nor integration of the implementation of these international conventions into national policies	
5.7.2	There is limited coordination and integration	

5.7.3	There is adequate coordination and integration	

5.8 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?
Question ID: 299| Result ID: 2025

Check only one box		
5.8.1	There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities	
5.8.2	There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.	
5.8.3	There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.	
5.8.4	There are policies that are effectively implemented.	

5.9 - How effectively do the State Party's policies integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?
Question ID: 300| Result ID: 2026

Check only one box		
5.9.1	There are no specific policies to integrate heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning.	
5.9.2	There are no specific policies, but nonetheless this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.	
5.9.3	There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.	
5.9.4	There are policies that are effectively implemented.	

5.10 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.9)
Question ID: 301| Result ID: 2050

6.Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?
Question ID: 302| Result ID: 2051

Check only one box		
6.1.1	There is no cooperation between the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.1.2	There is limited cooperation between the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.1.3	There is some cooperation between the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.	
6.1.4	There is effective cooperation between principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies (e.g. responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage

	Check only one box	
6.2.1	There is no cooperation between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.2.2	There is limited cooperation between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.2.3	In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.	
6.2.4	All principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage are effectively cooperating with other government agencies.	

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?
Question ID: 302| Result ID: 2051

	Check only one box	
6.3.1	There is no cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.3.2	There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.3.3	In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.	
6.3.4	Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies/institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?
Question ID: 303| Result ID: 2052

	Check only one box	
6.4.1	There is no capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.	
6.4.2	There is some capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.	
6.4.3	There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.	
6.4.4	There is excellent capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.	

6.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to services for protection, conservation and presentation (Questions 6.1 to 6.4)
Question ID: 305| Result ID: 2509

7.Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme specifically addressing World Heritage?
Question ID: 307| Result ID: 2054[e]

Check only one box

7.1.1	There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.	
7.1.2	There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage	
7.1.3	There is a comprehensive research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.	

7.2 - Provide details about significant research projects (up to a maximum of five) that have been undertaken since the last periodic report
Question ID: 308| Result ID: 2510

Name	
Date	
Scope (national, regional, local)	
Type (cultural, natural, both)	
Website	
Comments for explaining scope	

7.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific, technical studies and research (Questions 7.1 to 7.2)
Question ID: 309| Result ID: 2055

8.Status financial and human resources

8.1 – Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country
Question ID: 310| Result ID: 2511

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable	1 Minor source of fixed term funding	2 Minor source of sustained funding	3 Major source of fixed term funding	4 Major source of sustained funding
National government funds					
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)					
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund					
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)					
International bilateral funding (eg AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)					
NGOs (international and/ or national)					
Private sector funds					
Other (Please specify in 8.6)					

8.2 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and donations for the protection of World Heritage?
Question ID: 311| Result ID: 2056

Check only one box		
8.2.1	Yes	
8.2.2	No	

8.3 - Does the State Party have national policies for the allocation of site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?
Question ID: 312| Result ID: 2057

Check only one box		
8.3.1	Yes	
8.3.2	No	

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?
Question ID: 313| Result ID: 2058

Check only one box		
8.4.1	The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.	
8.4.2	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.	
8.4.3	The available budget is acceptable to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.	
8.4.4	The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.	

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?
Question ID: 314| Result ID: 2059

Check only one box		
8.5.1	Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and natural heritage.	
8.5.2	A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.	
8.5.3	Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	
8.5.4	Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.	

8.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)
Question ID: 315| Result ID: 2028

9.Training

9.1 – Provide details about formal training / educational institutions / programs (up to a maximum of five) relevant to World Heritage
Question ID: 316| Result ID: 2060

Organisation	
Scope (national, regional, local)	
Type (cultural, natural, both)	
Website	
Comments (eg degree, scope, etc.)	

9.2 - Please assess the training needs in the following fields identified in your country for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.
Question ID: 317| Result ID: 2474

Rating on a 3 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 low priority	2 medium priority	3 high priority	
9.2.1	Conservation					
9.2.2	Education					
9.2.3	Promotion					
9.2.4	Interpretation					
9.2.5	Administration					
9.2.6	Visitor management					
9.2.7	Community outreach					
9.2.8	Risk preparedness					
9.2.9	Enforcement (custodians, police)					
9.2.10	Other					

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?
Question ID: 318| Result ID: 2475

Check only one box		
9.3.1	There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	
9.3.2	There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.	
9.3.3	There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.	
9.3.4	There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation that is effectively implemented.	

9.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Training (Questions 9.1 to 9.3)
Question ID: 319| Result ID: 2030

10.International cooperation

10.1 - If your country co-operated with other States Parties for the identification, protection, conservation and preservation of the World Heritage located on their territories since the last periodic report, please indicate the type of co-operation that best describes your activities.
Question ID: 320| Result ID: 2031

You can check multiple boxes		
10.1.1	No cooperation	
10.1.2	Participation in other UN programmes	
10.1.3	Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements	
10.1.4	Financial support	
10.1.5	Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage	
10.1.6	Participation in foundations for international cooperation	
10.1.7	Sharing expertise for capacity building	
10.1.8	Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars	
10.1.9	Distribution of material/information	
10.1.10	Other (please specify in 10.3)	

10.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?
Question ID: 322| Result ID: 2477

Check only one box		
10.3.1	Yes	
10.3.2	No	

10.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 10.1 to 10.2)
Question ID: 323| Result ID: 2478

11.Education, Information and awareness building

11.1. What media does your State Party use to present and promote its World Heritage Sites?
Please indicate which of the following forms of media are used and for what purpose (information, awareness raising and/or education) and at what scale (local, regional, national or international level). Please tick all that apply.

11.1.1 - Publications

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.1.1	Not applicable	
11.1.1.2	Information	
11.1.1.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.1.4	Education	
11.1.1.5	International	
11.1.1.6	National	
11.1.1.7	Regional	
11.1.1.8	Local	

11.1.2 – Films / TV
Question ID: 339| Result ID: 2485

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.2.1	Not applicable	
11.1.2.2	Information	
11.1.2.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.2.4	Education	
11.1.2.5	International	
11.1.2.6	National	
11.1.2.7	Regional	
11.1.2.8	Local	

11.1.3 - Media campaigns
Question ID: 341| Result ID: 2487

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.3.1	Not applicable	
11.1.3.2	Information	
11.1.3.3	Awareness Raising	

11.1.3.4	Education	
11.1.3.5	International	
11.1.3.6	National	
11.1.3.7	Regional	
11.1.3.8	Local	

11.1.4 - Internet
Question ID: 342| Result ID: 2488

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.4.1	Not applicable	
11.1.4.2	Information	
11.1.4.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.4.4	Education	
11.1.4.5	International	
11.1.4.6	National	
11.1.4.7	Regional	
11.1.4.8	Local	

11.1.5- Postage stamps, medals
Question ID: 343| Result ID: 2512

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.5.1	Not applicable	
11.1.5.2	Information	
11.1.5.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.5.4	Education	
11.1.5.5	International	
11.1.5.6	National	
11.1.5.7	Regional	

| 11.1.5.8 | Local | |

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day Have a pop-up box to indicate date
Question ID: 344| Result ID: 2489

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.6.1	Not applicable	
11.1.6.2	Information	
11.1.6.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.6.4	Education	
11.1.6.5	International	
11.1.6.6	National	
11.1.6.7	Regional	
11.1.6.8	Local	

11.1.7 – Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre
Question ID: 338| Result ID: 2484

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.7.1	Not applicable	
11.1.7.2	Information	
11.1.7.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.7.4	Education	
11.1.7.5	International	
11.1.7.6	National	
11.1.7.7	Regional	
11.1.7.8	Local	

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)
Question ID: 345| Result ID: 2490

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.8.1	Not applicable	

11.1.8.2	Information	
11.1.8.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.8.4	Education	
11.1.8.5	International	
11.1.8.6	National	
11.1.8.7	Regional	
11.1.8.8	Local	

11.1.9 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Media used for the promotion of World Heritage sites (Questions 11.1.1 to 11.1.8)

11.2.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?
Question ID: 327| Result ID: 2481

Check only one box		
11.2.1.1	There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage.	
11.2.1.2	There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.	
11.2.1.3	There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but there are some deficiencies in implementation.	
11.2.1.4	There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage that are being effectively implemented.	

11.2.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following audiences about World Heritage in your country
Question ID: 325| Result ID: 2479

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not Applicable	1 No awareness	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
11.2.2.1	Private Sector					
11.2.2.2	Youth					
11.2.2.3	Communities living in/ around heritage sites					
11.2.2.4	Tourism industry					
11.2.2.5	Decision makers and public officials					
11.2.2.6	Indigenous peoples					
11.2.2.7	General public					

11.2.3 – Does the State Party participate in UNESCO*'s World Heritage in Young Hands programme*?
Question ID: 326| Result ID: 2480

Check only one box		
11.2.3.1	The State Party does not participate in UNESCO*'s World Heritage in Young Hands programme*	
11.2.3.2	The State Party does not participate in UNESCO*'s World Heritage in Young Hands programme* but intends to do so	
11.2.3.3	The State Party participates in UNESCO*'s World Heritage in Young Hands programme*	
11.2.3.4	The State Party participates in UNESCO*'s World Heritage in Young Hands programme* and has integrated World Heritage Education in School Curricula	

11.2.4 If yes, please rate the level of frequency of the following activities:

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Never	1 Once	2 Occasionally	3 Often	4 Regularly

11.2.4.1	Courses for teachers for the use of the *World Heritage in Young Hands Kit*	
11.2.4.2	Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	
11.2.4.3	Youth Forums	
11.2.4.4	Skills-training courses for students	
11.2.4.5	Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	
11.2.4.6	Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	
11.2.4.7	Other (comment below)	

11.2.5 - Comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Education, Information and awareness building (Questions 11.2.1 to 11.2.4)
Question ID: 329| Result ID: 2483

12.Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1.Summary table of the State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

This table is generated automatically based on the answers you provided in the questionnaire.

12.1.1	Identification heritage	
12.1.2	National Inventories	

12.1.3	Tentative List	
12.1.4	General Policy development	
12.1.5	Integration of conventions	
12.1.6	Life of the communities	
12.1.7	Larger-scale planning	
12.1.8	Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation	
12.1.9	Scientific and Technical Studies and Research	
12.1.10	Status financial and human resources	
12.1.11	Training	
12.1.12	International cooperation	
12.1.13	Education, Information and awareness-building	

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 12.1).

Issue	Actions	Timeframe	Agency(ies)	Comments

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?
Question ID: 331| Result ID: 2502

Check only one box		
13.1.1	Yes	
13.1.2	No	

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:
Question ID: 332| Result ID: 2503

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire
Question ID: 333| Result ID: 2504

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 No support	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
13.3.1	World Heritage Centre					
13.3.2	UNESCO (other sectors)					

13.3.3	UNESCO National Commission	
13.3.4	ICOMOS international	
13.3.5	IUCN international	
13.3.6	ICCROM	
13.3.7	IUCN national / regional	
13.3.8	ICOMOS national / regional	

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?
Question ID: 334| Result ID: 2505

Check only one box		
13.4.1	Little of the required information was accessible.	
13.4.2	Not all required information was accessible.	
13.4.3	Most required information was accessible.	
13.4.4	All required information was accessible.	

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities
Question ID: 335| Result ID: 2506

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 No follow-up	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good	
13.5.1	UNESCO						
13.5.2	Advisory Bodies						
13.5.3	State Party						
13.5.4	Site Managers						

13.6 - Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise (Questions 13.1 to 13.5)
Question ID: 336| Result ID: 2507

Section II

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

1.3 - Geographic information table

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

1.4 - Map(s)

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

1.8 - Other designations/conventions under which the property is listed (if applicable)

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

2. Statement of Outstanding Value

2.1 - Statement of OUV/Statement of Significance

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

2.3 - Please describe the attributes for each criteria

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

To aid identification, analysis and response to the range of factors affecting World Heritage properties, a standardised list of these factors is provided.

Factors can occur **inside the property**, **outside the property**, or **both inside and outside**.

They can be **current** [×] or **potential** [×], and either **negative** [×] or **positive** [×].

1. The first step is to assess whether or not the factor is relevant to your property. If it is not, check the box marked N/A (i.e. not applicable) and move on.
2. If factors are applicable then you will first be asked whether they are occurring inside or outside of the property (or both).
3. Once you have checked the box regarding occurrence, four additional options will appear. These allow you to indicate whether the factor is positive or negative and whether its effects are current or potential.

Consider the example of 'visitor accommodation'. If visitor accommodation inside your World Heritage property is small scale or well managed, it could have a positive effect on your property in terms of assisting in the presentation of World Heritage values; however, if it is very large scale and/or not well managed (either inside or outside the World Heritage property), then the same factor could have a negative impact on the property.

3.1. Buildings and Development

The physical 'footprint' in relatively localised areas including:

- Tourism facilities
- Recreation facilities
- Destruction of traditional buildings and other heritage;
- Modern construction
- Encroachment
- Deterioration/ loss of setting

Note - use (3.2) below for transportation related visitor facilities
Note - see (3.4) below for visual pollution caused by any of the above factors

3.1.1 - Housing

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.1	Housing						

3.1.2 - Commercial development

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.2	Commercial development						

3.1.3 - Industrial areas

	Name	Impact	Origin

	Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.3 Industrial areas						

3.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						

3.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						

3.2. Transportation Infrastructure

The physical 'footprint' and derived effects of use (includes visitor transportation infrastructure)

3.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						

3.2.2 - Air transport infrastructure

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure						

3.2.3 - Marine transport infrastructure

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure						

3.2.4 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						

3.3. Utilities or Service Infrastructure

Developments in relation to infrastructure for energy utilities (i.e. gas, electricity and water) and other service requirements

3.3.1 - Water infrastructure

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.1	Water infrastructure						

3.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities						

3.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities						

3.3.4 - Localised utilities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.4	Localised utilities						

3.3.5 - Major linear utilities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.3.5	Major linear utilities						

3.4. Pollution

All types of pollution (residential or commercial) as well as garbage and solid waste.

3.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters						

3.4.2 - Ground water pollution

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.2	Ground water pollution						

3.4.3 - Surface water pollution

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.3	Surface water pollution						

3.4.4 - Air pollution

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.4	Air pollution						

3.4.5 - Solid waste

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.5	Solid waste						

3.4.6 - Input of excess energy

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.4.6	Input of excess energy						

3.5. Biological resource use/modification

The collecting/harvesting of wild plants and animals (forestry, fishing, hunting, gathering) and harvesting domesticated species (silviculture, agriculture, aquaculture)

3.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						

3.5.2 - Aquaculture

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.5.2	Aquaculture						

3.5.3 - Land conversion

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.5.3	Land conversion						

3.5.4 - Livestock farming/grazing of domesticated animals

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.5.4	Livestock farming/grazing of domesticated animals						

3.5.5 - Crop production

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.5.5	Crop production						

3.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.5.6	Commercial wild plant collection						

3.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection						

3.5.8 - Commercial hunting

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.5.8	Commercial hunting						

3.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

	Name	Impact	Origin

		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.9	Subsistence hunting						

3.5.10 - Forestry /wood production

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production						

3.6. Physical resource extraction

If illegal see (3.9)

3.6.1 - Mining

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.6.1	Mining						

3.6.2 - Quarrying

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.6.2	Quarrying						

3.6.3 - Oil and gas

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.6.3	Oil and gas						

3.6.4 - Water

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.6.4	Water						

3.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Environmental or biological factors that promote or contribute to deterioration processes of the fabric of heritage sites. Since decay effects cannot be attributed to a single factor, consider all elements.
Use 3.4.4 above for air pollution.
Use 3.10 below for severe weather incl. flooding.
For tourism activities 3.8.6.

3.7.1 - Wind

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.7.1	Wind						

3.7.2 - Relative humidity

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.7.2	Relative humidity						

3.7.3 - Temperature

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.7.3	Temperature						

3.7.4 - Radiation/light

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.7.4	Radiation/light						

3.7.5 - Dust

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.7.5	Dust						

3.7.6 - Water

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.7.6	Water						

3.7.7 - Pests

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.7.7	Pests						

3.7.8 - Micro-organisms

	Name	Impact	Origin

3.7.8	Micro-organisms						

3.8 .Social/cultural uses of heritage

Social factors that contribute to deterioration processes of the fabric of heritage sites, Some uses might have a positive impact as they enhance certain values (e.g. ritual, religious) while others might compromise ascribed values and could lead to the deterioration of the heritage place.
Use 3.1.4 and 3.1.5 above for impacts of tourism infrastructure and tourism activities in 3.8.6.

3.8.1 - Ritual/spiritual/religious and associative uses

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.1	Ritual/spiritual/religious and associative uses						

3.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						

3.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.3	Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting						

3.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						

3.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						

3.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/visitor/recreation

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism/visitor/recreation						

3.9. Other human activities

Note Use (3.8) above for impacts on local communities

3.9.1 - Illegal activities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.1	Illegal activities						

3.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage						

3.9.3 - Military training

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.3	Military training						

3.9.4 - War

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.4	War						

3.9.5 - Terrorism

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.5	Terrorism						

3.9.6 - Civil unrest

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.9.6	Civil unrest						

3.10. Climate change and severe weather events

3.10.1 - Storms

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.10.1	Storms						

3.10.2 - Flooding

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.10.2	Flooding						

3.10.3 - Drought

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.10.3	Drought						

3.10.4 - Desertification

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.10.4	Desertification						

3.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.10.5	Changes to oceanic waters						

3.10.6 - Temperature change

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.10.6	Temperature change						

3.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	**Negative**	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts						

3.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

3.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.1	Volcanic eruption						

3.11.2 - Earthquake

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.2	Earthquake						

3.11.3 - Tsunami/tidal wave

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.3	Tsunami/tidal wave						

3.11.4 - Avalanche/ landslide

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide						

3.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/ deposition

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition						

3.11.6 - Fire

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.11.6	Fire						

3.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

3.12.1 - Translocated species

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.1	Translocated species						

3.12.2 - Invasive/alien terrestrial species

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species						

3.12.3 - Invasive / alien freshwater species

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species						

3.12.4 - Invasive / alien marine species

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.4	Invasive / alien marine species						

3.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species						

3.12.6 - Modified genetic material

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.12.6	Modified genetic material						

3.13. Management and institutional factors

3.13.1 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.13.1	Low impact research/monitoring activities						

3.13.2 - High impact research/monitoring activities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.13.2	High impact research/monitoring activities						

3.13.3 - Management activities

	Name	Impact				Origin	
		Positive	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside
3.13.3	Management activities						

3.14. Other factor(s)

Please add here any additional factors, up to a maximum of two factors, not covered by the list above, and describe them very briefly (maximum of 4 to 5 words per factor).

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name		Impact		Origin	
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

This section extracts the current negative factors which have been listed and provides the opportunity to make a more detailed assessment of these factors.
The aggregated 'scores' for each negative factor will then automatically determine a relative list of all the negative impacts for your World Heritage property and the six negative factors with the highest scores will be 'exported' into Summary Table 5.1 for your World Heritage property.

3.16.1 - Assessment of negative factors

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

Check only one box		
4.1.1.1	**There is a buffer zone**	
4.1.1.2	**There is no buffer zone**, and it is not needed	
4.1.1.3	**There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one**	

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

	Check only one box	
4.1.2.1	**Inadequacies** in the boundaries make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.1.2.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved	
4.1.2.3	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

	Check only one box	
4.1.3.1	**The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List	
4.1.3.2	**Inadequacies in the buffer zones** of the World Heritage property make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.1.3.3	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit the** ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**	
4.1.3.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

	Check only one box	
4.1.4.1	The boundaries of the World Heritage property **are not known** by the management authority or local residents/communities/landowners	
4.1.4.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents/communities/landowners**	
4.1.4.3	The boundaries of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents/communities/landowners	

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

	Check only one box	
4.1.5.1	The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List	
4.1.5.2	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are not known** by the management authority or local residents/communities/landowners.	

4.1.5.3	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents/communities/landowners**.	
4.1.5.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents/communities/landowners.	

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Validate	Update	

Your comment:

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

Check only one box		
4.2.2.1	The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is **inadequate**	
4.2.2.2	An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**	
4.2.2.3	The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection	

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

Check only one box		
4.2.3.1	The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List	
4.2.3.2	The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage	

	property **is inadequate**	
4.2.3.3	An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**	
4.2.3.4	The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection	

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

Check only one box		
4.2.4.1	There is **no legal framework** for controlling use and activities in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone	
4.2.4.2	The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is **inadequate** to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
4.2.4.3	An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
4.2.4.4	The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity	

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and/ or regulation) be enforced?

Check only one box		
4.2.5.1	There is **no effective capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	
4.2.5.2	There are **major deficiencies** in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	
4.2.5.3	There is **acceptable** capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain	
4.2.5.4	There is **excellent** capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Validate	Update	

Your comment:

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Validate	Update	

Your comment:

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

Check only one box		
4.3.3.1	There is a range of administrative bodies / levels involved in management but there is **little or no coordination** between them for managing different aspects of the property	
4.3.3.2	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**	
4.3.3.3	There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property	

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

Check only one box		
4.3.4.1	**No management system/plan is currently in place** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.3.4.2	The management system/plan is **not adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.3.4.3	The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.3.4.4	The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

	Check only one box	
4.3.5.1	**No management system** is currently in place	
4.3.5.2	The management system **is not being implemented**	
4.3.5.3	The management system is **only partially** being implemented	
4.3.5.4	The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored	

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

	Check only one box	
4.3.6.1	**No annual work/action plan** exists	
4.3.6.2	No annual work/action plan exists **despite an identified need**	
4.3.6.3	An annual work/action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented	
4.3.6.4	An annual work/action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented	
4.3.6.5	An annual work/action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored	

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship of the following with World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff

Rating on a 4 point scale	**0** Not applicable	**1** Non-existent	**2** Poor	**3** Fair	**4** Good	
4.3.7.1 Local communities/residents						
4.3.7.2 Local/Municipal authorities						
4.3.7.3 Indigenous peoples						
4.3.7.4 Landowners						
4.3.7.5 Visitors						
4.3.7.6 Researchers						
4.3.7.7 Tourism industry						
4.3.7.8 Industry						

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

	Check only one box	
4.3.8.1	**No local communities** are resident in or living near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone	
4.3.8.2	Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management	
4.3.8.3	Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management	
4.3.8.4	Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management	
4.3.8.5	Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management	

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

	Check only one box	
4.3.9.1	**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone	
4.3.9.2	Indigenous peoples have **no input** into decisions relating to the management	
4.3.9.3	Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role	
4.3.9.4	Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved	
4.3.9.5	Indigenous peoples directly participate in **all relevant** decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management	

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

	Check only one box	
4.3.10.1	There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone	
4.3.10.2	There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone	
4.3.10.3	There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area	

	surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone	
4.3.10.4	There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management	

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to management system/plan

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and/or contractual/traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Average annual operating costs for the primary management agency based on the average of last five years (Do not provide monetary figures but the relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)		%
International donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)		%
Governmental (National/Federal)		%
Governmental (Regional/Provincial/State)		%
Governmental (Local/Municipal)		%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)		%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)		%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)		%
Other grants		%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Validate	Update	

Your comment:

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

	Check only one box	
4.4.3.1	There is **no budget** for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need	
4.4.3.2	The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage	
4.4.3.3	The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs	
4.4.3.4	The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard	

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

	Check only one box	
4.4.4.1	Existing sources of funding are **not secure**	
4.4.4.2	The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term	

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

	Check only one box	
4.4.5.1	The World Heritage property **does not deliver any economic benefits** to local communities	
4.4.5.2	**Potential** economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed	
4.4.5.3	There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities	
4.4.5.4	There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property	

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

	Check only one box	
4.4.6.1	There are **little or no** equipment or facilities despite an identified need	
4.4.6.2	There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**	
4.4.6.3	There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property	
4.4.6.4	There are **adequate** equipment and facilities	

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

	Check only one box	
4.4.7.1	There is **little or no** maintenance of existing equipment and facilities or no equipment and facilities, despite an identified need.	
4.4.7.2	There is some *ad hoc* maintenance of equipment and facilities	
4.4.7.3	There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities	
4.4.7.4	Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**	

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage Property (% of total)

Full-time		%
Part-time		%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage Property (% of total)

Permanent		%
Seasonal		%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage Property (% of total)

Paid		%
Volunteer		%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

	Check only one box	
4.4.12.1	**No human resources are dedicated** to managing the property despite an identified need	
4.4.12.2	Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs	
4.4.12.3	A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.	
4.4.12.4	Human resources are **adequate** for management needs	

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the avaibility of professionals in the following disciplines

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 Non-existent	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good	
4.4.13.1	Research and monitoring						
4.4.13.2	Promotion						
4.4.13.3	Community outreach						
4.4.13.4	Interpretation						
4.4.13.5	Education						
4.4.13.6	Visitor management						
4.4.13.7	Conservation						
4.4.13.8	Administration						
4.4.13.9	Risk preparedness						
4.4.13.10	Tourism						
4.4.13.11	Enforcement (custodians, police)						

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 Not available	2 Low	3 Medium	4 High	
4.4.14.1	Research and monitoring						Conservation
4.4.14.2	Promotion						Administration
4.4.14.3	Community outreach						Promotion
4.4.14.4	Interpretation						Interpretation
4.4.14.5	Education						Education
4.4.14.6	Visitor management						Visitor management
4.4.14.7	Conservation						Community outreach
4.4.14.8	Administration						Tourism

4.4.14.9	Risk preparedness	Research and monitori
4.4.14.10	Tourism	Risk Preparedness
4.4.14.11	Enforcement (custodians, police)	Enforcement (custodia

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

	Check only one box	
4.4.15.1	**No capacity** development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
4.4.15.2	A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**	
4.4.15.3	A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**	
4.4.15.4	A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management	

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

	Check only one box	
4.5.1.1	There is **little or no knowledge** available about the values of the World Heritage property	
4.5.1.2	Knowledge about the World Heritage property is **not sufficient**	
4.5.1.3	Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**	
4.5.1.4	Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**	

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

Check only one box		
4.5.2.1	There is **no research** taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need	
4.5.2.2	There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned	
4.5.2.3	There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
4.5.2.4	There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Check only one box		
4.5.3.1	Research results are **not shared** at any level	
4.5.3.2	Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
4.5.3.3	Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**	
4.5.3.4	Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences	

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last periodic report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Check only one box		
4.6.1.1	**Not displayed** at all	
4.6.1.2	In one location, but **not easily visible** to visitors	
4.6.1.3	In **one location and easily visible** to visitors	

4.6.1.4	In **many locations, but not easily visible** to visitors	
4.6.1.5	In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors	

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Rating on a 4 point scale	**0** Not applicable (0)	**1** Non-existent (1)	**2** Poor (2)	**3** Average (3)	**4** Excellent (4)	
4.6.2.1	Local communities/residents					
4.6.2.2	Local/Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property					
4.6.2.3	Local Indigenous peoples					
4.6.2.4	Local landowners					
4.6.2.5	Visitors					
4.6.2.6	Tourism industry					
4.6.2.7	Local businesses and industries					

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

Check only one box		
4.6.3.1	There is **no need** for an education and awareness programme	
4.6.3.2	There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need	
4.6.3.3	There is a **limited and *ad hoc*** education and awareness programme	
4.6.3.4	There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved	
4.6.3.5	There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property	

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

Check only one box		
4.6.4.1	World Heritage status has **not influenced** education, information or awareness building activities	

4.6.4.2	World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities	
4.6.4.3	World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**	
4.6.4.4	World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities	

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

Check only one box		
4.6.5.1	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not presented or interpreted**	
4.6.5.2	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted	
4.6.5.3	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**	
4.6.5.4	There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property	

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Rating on a 4 point scale on adequacy	**0** Not needed	**1** Not provided but needed	**2** Poor	**3** Adequate	**4** Excellent	
4.6.6.1	Visitor centre					
4.6.6.2	Site museum					
4.6.6.3	Information booths					
4.6.6.4	Guided tours					
4.6.6.5	Trails/routes					
4.6.6.6	Information materials					
4.6.6.7	Transportation facilities					
4.6.6.8	Other					

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Visitation rating scale	0 N/A	1 Decreasing	2 Static	3 Minor Increase	4 Major Increase (100%+)	5
4.7.1.1 Last year						
4.7.1.2 Two years ago						
4.7.1.3 Three years ago						
4.7.1.4 Four years ago						
4.7.1.5 Five years ago						

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

You can check multiple boxes	
4.7.2.1 Entry tickets and registries	
4.7.2.2 Accommodation establishments	
4.7.2.3 Transportation services	
4.7.2.4 Tour operators	
4.7.2.5 Visitor surveys	
4.7.2.6 Other	

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Validate		Update	

Your comment:

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Check only one box

4.7.4.1	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an indentified need	
4.7.4.2	There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
4.7.4.3	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**	
4.7.4.4	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value	

4.7.5 - Do commercial tour operators contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Check only one box		
4.7.5.1	Although commercial tour operators are active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between them and those responsible for the World Heritage property	
4.7.5.2	There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and tourism operators but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**	
4.7.5.3	There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and tourism operators to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
4.7.5.4	There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and tourism operators to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Check only one box		
4.7.6.1	**No fees are collected**	
4.7.6.2	While there is the **authority to collect fees they are not collected**	
4.7.6.3	The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property	
4.7.6.4	The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property	
4.7.6.5	The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property	

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

Check only one box		
4.8.1.1	There is **no monitoring** taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need	
4.8.1.2	There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned	
4.8.1.3	There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
4.8.1.4	There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Check only one box		
4.8.2.1	There is **little or no information available** on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators	
4.8.2.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**	
4.8.2.3	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**	
4.8.2.4	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation	

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable	1 Non-existent	2 Poor	3 Average	4 Excellent	5
4.8.3.1	World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff					
4.8.3.2	Local/Municipal authorities					
4.8.3.3	Local communities					

4.8.3.4	Researchers	
4.8.3.5	NGOs	
4.8.3.6	Industry	
4.8.3.7	Local indigenous peoples	

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Check only one box		
4.8.4.1	**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement	
4.8.4.2	Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**	
4.8.4.3	Implementation is **underway**	
4.8.4.4	Implementation is **complete**	

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)
Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

## 5.1. Summary Table 1 - Factors affecting the Property

Section 5.1 will help you highlight the six most important negative factors you identify in Section 3 and detail management responses to these negative factors.

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

Factor 1

World Heritage criteria and attributes affected

```
                    ┌──────────────────────────┐
                    │                       ▲  │
                    │                          │
                    │                       ▼  │
                    │ ◄ │   │            ► │    │
                    └──────────────────────────┘
```

Actions

```
                    ┌──────────────────────────┐
                    │                       ▲  │
                    │                       ▼  │
                    │ ◄ │   │            ► │    │
                    └──────────────────────────┘
```

Monitoring

```
                    ┌──────────────────────────┐
                    │                       ▲  │
                    │                       ▼  │
                    │ ◄ │   │            ► │    │
                    └──────────────────────────┘
```

Timeframe

```
                    ┌──────────────────────────┐
                    │                       ▲  │
                    │                       ▼  │
                    │ ◄ │   │            ► │    │
                    └──────────────────────────┘
```

Lead agency (and others involved)

```
                    ┌──────────────────────────┐
                    │                       ▲  │
                    │                       ▼  │
                    │ ◄ │   │            ► │    │
                    └──────────────────────────┘
```

More info/comment

```
                    ┌──────────────────────────┐
                    │                       ▲  │
                    │                       ▼  │
                    │ ◄ │   │            ► │    │
                    └──────────────────────────┘
```

Factor 2

World Heritage criteria and attributes affected

```
                    ┌──────────────────────────┐
                    │                       ▲  │
                    │                       ▼  │
                    │ ◄ │   │            ► │    │
                    └──────────────────────────┘
```

Actions

[   ]

Monitoring

[   ]

Timeframe

[   ]

Lead agency (and others involved)

[   ]

More info/comment

[   ]

Factor 3

World Heritage criteria and attributes affected

[   ]

Actions

[   ]

Monitoring

[text input box]

Timeframe

[text input box]

Lead agency (and others involved)

[text input box]

More info/comment

[text input box]

Factor 4

World Heritage criteria and attributes affected

[text input box]

Actions

[text input box]

Monitoring

[text input box]

Timeframe

Lead agency (and others involved)

More info/comment

Factor 5

World Heritage criteria and attributes affected

Actions

Monitoring

Timeframe

Lead agency (and others involved)

[ ]

More info/comment

[ ]

Factor 6

World Heritage criteria and attributes affected

[ ]

Actions

[ ]

Monitoring

[ ]

Timeframe

[ ]

Lead agency (and others involved)

[ ]

More info/comment

[text input box]

5.2. Summary Table 2 - Management Needs

Section 5.2 will help you highlight the main management needs that you identified in Part 4. It will allow for discussion of corrective measures currently being carried out to address these management needs and any proposed future action(s).

**5.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs**

Management Need 1

Actions

[text input box]

Timeframe

[text input box]

Lead agency (and others involved)

[text input box]

More info/comment

[text input box]

Management Need 2

Actions

Timeframe

Lead agency (and others involved)

More info/comment

Management Need 3

Actions

Timeframe

Lead agency (and others involved)

More info/comment

[text input box]

Management Need 4

Actions

[text input box]

Timeframe

[text input box]

Lead agency (and others involved)

[text input box]

More info/comment

[text input box]

Management Need 5

Actions

[text input box]

Timeframe

[text input box]

Lead agency (and others involved)

```
[text input field]
```

More info/comment

```
[text input field]
```

Management Need 6

Actions

```
[text input field]
```

Timeframe

```
[text input field]
```

Lead agency (and others involved)

```
[text input field]
```

More info/comment

```
[text input field]
```

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

Check only one box	
5.3.1.1	**Not applicable** (for sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)	

5.3.1.2	The authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been lost**	
5.3.1.3	The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **seriously compromised** by factors described in this report	
5.3.1.4	The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report	
5.3.1.5	The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**	

5.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

Check only one box		
5.3.2.1	The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **lost**	
5.3.2.2	The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **seriously compromised** by factors described in this report	
5.3.2.3	The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report	
5.3.2.4	The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**	

5.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Check only one box		
5.3.3.1	The World Heritage property has **lost** its Outstanding Universal Value ("the characteristics which determined its inclusion in the World Heritage List")	
5.3.3.2	The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **seriously impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation can be addressed, or is currently being addressed through management actions.	
5.3.3.3	The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions.**	
5.3.3.4	The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.	

5.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Check only one box		
5.3.4.1	Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **severely degraded** and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property	

5.3.4.2	Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **degraded** and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property	
5.3.4.3	Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted	
5.3.4.4	Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**	

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

6. Conclusions of Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Rating on a 4 point scale		**0** Not applicable	**1** Negative	**2** No impact	**3** Positive	**4** Very positive	**5**
6.1.1	Conservation						
6.1.2	Research and monitoring						
6.1.3	Management effectiveness						
6.1.4	Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples						
6.1.5	Recognition						
6.1.6	Education						
6.1.7	Infrastructure development						
6.1.8	Funding for the property						
6.1.9	International cooperation						
6.1.10	Political support for conservation						
6.1.11	Legal/Policy framework						

6.1.12	Lobbying	
6.1.13	Institutional coordination	
6.1.14	Security	
6.1.15	Other (please specify)	

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the Preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report (tick as many boxes as applicable)

You can check multiple boxes		
6.3.1	Governmental institution responsible for the property	
6.3.2	Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff	
6.3.3	Staff from other World Heritage properties	
6.3.4	Non Governmental Organization	
6.3.5	Indigenous peoples	
6.3.6	Local community	
6.3.7	Donors	
6.3.8	External experts	
6.3.9	Advisory bodies	
6.3.10	Others	

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

Check only one box		
6.4.1	yes	
6.4.2	no	

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

Rating on a 5 point scale	0 Very poor	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very good	5
6.6.1 UNESCO						
6.6.2 State Party Representative						
6.6.3 Advisory body						

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Check only one box	
6.7.1 **Little** of the required information was accessible	
6.7.2 **Not all** of the required information was accessible	
6.7.3 **Most** of the required information was accessible	
6.7.4 **All** required information was accessible	

6.8 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

You can check multiple boxes	
6.8.1 The World Heritage Convention	
6.8.2 The concept of Outstanding Universal Value	
6.8.3 The property's Outstanding Universal Value	
6.8.4 The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity	
6.8.5 The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity	
6.8.6 Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value	
6.8.7 Monitoring and reporting	
6.8.8 Management effectiveness	

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not Applicable	1 None	2 Unsatisfactory	3 Satisfactory	4 Excellent
6.9.1 UNESCO					

6.9.2	State Party	
6.9.3	Site Managers	
6.9.4	Advisory bodies	

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise