

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD CULTURAL
AND NATURAL HERITAGE

World Heritage Committee
Fourth Session
(Paris, 1-5 September 1980)

REPORT OF THE RAPPORTEUR ON THE FOURTH SESSION
OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The fourth session of the World Heritage Committee was held in Paris, France (1-5 September 1980) at the kind invitation of the French Government. The meeting was attended by the following States Members of the World Heritage Committee : Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Bulgaria, Ecuador, Egypt, France, Ghana, Iraq, Italy, Nepal, Nigeria, Pakistan, Panama, Senegal, Sudan, Switzerland, Tunisia, United States of America and Yugoslavia.
2. Representatives of the International Council of Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS) and of the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) attended the meeting in an advisory capacity.
3. Observers from twelve States Parties to the Convention which were not members of the Committee, namely Brazil, Canada, Costa Rica, Cyprus, Federal Republic of Germany, Haiti, Honduras, Jordan, Norway, Poland, Saudi Arabia, Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and Zaire also attended the session, as well as observers from Mexico and from an international organization : the Arab Educational, Cultural and Scientific Organization. The full list of participants will be found in Annex III to this report.

II. OPENING OF THE SESSION AND ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

4. The Chairman, Dr. Shehata Adam, declared the session open and addressed the meeting to welcome the participants and thank the French Government for their kind invitation to hold the fourth session of the World Heritage Committee at the Hôtel de Sully. Mr. Jean-Pierre Bady, Director of the Caisse nationale des monuments historiques et des sites, gave a welcoming speech which included a brief history of the Hôtel de Bethune-Sully. Mr. Michel Batisse, Deputy Assistant Director-General of Sciences, addressed the meeting on behalf of Mr. Amadou Mahtar-M'Bow, Director-General of Unesco. Mr. Bertrand Eveno, Director of the Cabinet

of the Minister of Culture and Communication addressed the meeting on behalf of Mr. Locat, Minister of Culture and Communication and communicated the text of a telegramme addressed to the participants of the Fourth Session of the World Heritage Committee by Mr. Raymond Barre, Prime Minister of France (Annex I).

III. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

5. Dr. Shehata Adam brought to the attention of the Committee the text of a letter from the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan regarding the nomination to the World Heritage List of the "Old City of Jerusalem and its walls" and suggested the matter be taken up under Item 4 of the proposed agenda.

6. The delegate from the United States of America suggested that a working group on the balance between cultural and natural sites be established and the examination of the Report of the Rapporteur on the 4th session of the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee (19-22 May 1980) was inserted between Items 10 and 11.

7. After a discussion concerning the order in which to examine the items of the agenda the decision was taken to adopt the agenda in the original form in which it was presented to the Committee with addition of the examination of the Report of the Rapporteur on the Bureau meeting between Items 10 and 11 (cf. Annex II).

IV. ELECTION OF THE CHAIRMAN, VICE-CHAIRMEN AND RAPPORTEUR

8. Mr. M. Parent was elected as Chairman of the Committee by acclamation. The Committee then elected by acclamation the following representatives of States Members of the Committee as Vice-Chairmen: H. Exc. Prof. R.O. Slatyer (Australia), Mr. J. Adusei (Ghana), Mrs. R. Torres de Arauz (Panama), Mr. D. Hales (United States of America), Dr. M. Prelog (Yugoslavia) and Mr. A. Beschaouch (Tunisia) as Rapporteur. Dr. S. Adam (Egypt), the former Chairman of the Committee, was invited to participate in the work of the Bureau.

9. Mr. M. Parent, in his capacity as Chairman of the Committee addressed the meeting.

10. Mrs. R. Torres de Arauz announced the creation of the Multinational Technical Council on Culture for Central America at a formal meeting in Copan, Honduras. She also informed the Committee of the hope expressed by the Secretary of Education of Guatemala that the Maya City of Quirigua be considered for inclusion in the World Heritage List.

V. CONSIDERATION OF ITEM 4 OF THE AGENDA : NOMINATIONS TO THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST

11. The Committee discussed one by one those nominations which had been recommended by the Bureau for inscription on the List, those which had been recommended by the Bureau not to be entered on the List and nominations which raised a problem of application of the criteria, and were hence recommended by the Bureau to be deferred. In each case the Committee heard, as appropriate, the comments of the representatives of IUCN and/or ICOMOS who presented an evaluation of each property in question in relation to the criteria. The representatives of IUCN and ICOMOS were invited when appropriate and to the extent that it was feasible to consider the nominations in a comparative context.

12. The Committee decided to enter in the World Heritage List the following 28 sites :

<u>No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>State Party</u>
102	Qalaa of Beni Hammad	Algeria
124	Historic Town of Ouro Preto	Brazil
133	Burgess Shale Site	Canada
79	Paphos	Cyprus
10	Lower Valley of the Awash	Ethiopia
12	Tiya	"
15	Aksum	"
17	Lower Valley of the Omo	"
35	Ashante Traditional Buildings	Ghana
129	Maya Site of Copan	Honduras
91	Historic Centre of Rome	Italy
	The representative from Italy agreed to communicate to his Government the Committee's recommendation to extend the protected zone on the Western side as far as the walls built by Urban VIII.	
	The Committee considered it desirable that the Vatican City be also protected under the World Heritage Convention and therefore recommended that an invitation to accede to the Convention be addressed by the General Conference of Unesco to the Holy See.	
93	Church and Dominican Convent of Santa Maria delle Grazie with "The Last Supper" by Leonardo da Vinci.	Italy
130	Hal Saflieni Hypogeum	Malta
131	City of Valetta	"
132	Ggantija Temples	"
55	Røros	Norway
138	Archaeological ruins at Moenjodaro	Pakistan

<u>No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>State Party</u>
139	Taxila	Pakistan
140	Buddhist ruins at Takht-i-Bahi and Neighbouring city remains at Sahr-i-Bahlol	"
135	The fortifications on the Caribbean side of Panama : Portobelo-San Lorenzo	Panama
30	Historic centre of Warsaw	Poland
22	Ancient city of Bosra	Syrian Arab Republic
23	Site of Palmyra	" "

The Committee recommends that the necropolises and the remains of the Roman aqueduct which are situated outside the fortified walls should be included in the protected zone. The Committee draws attention to the hotel facilities on the site which should not, in its opinion, be further extended.

8 Ichkeul National Park Tunisia

The Committee received assurances from the delegate of Tunisia that the Government of Tunisia will implement a plan for corrective measures, as described in documents submitted to the Secretariat, so that the integrity of Ichkeul National Park will be maintained in the future.

134	Redwood National Park	United States of America
100	Durmitor National Park	Yugoslavia
136	Garamba National Park	Zaire
137	Kahuzi-Biega National Park	"

13. The Committee also decided to extend the protected site of Ohrid Lake to include the cultural and historical area. This site will carry the name "Ohrid region with its cultural and historical aspects and its natural environment".

14. The Committee decided furthermore to defer the following sites :

<u>No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>State Party</u>
101	Dey's Palace at Algiers	Algeria
103	Citadel Quarter of Setif	"
106	National archaeological park of Guayabo de Turrialba	Costa Rica
107	Santa Rosa historic mansion	"
109	Ruins of Ujarras	"
11	Adulis	Ethiopia
111	Bale Mountain National Park	"
112	Abijatta Shalla Lakes National Park	"
13	Melka Kontoure.	"
14	Matara	"
16	Yeha	"
92	Convent of Santa Giulia-San Salvatore	Italy
116	Town of Djenné	Mali
117	National Park of the Baoulé Loop	"
118	Land of the Dogon	"
119	Town of Timbuktu	"
122	Birni Gazargamu and Gambaru	Nigeria
142	Rock carvings at the Sacred Rock of Hunza and near Gilgit and Chilas	Pakistan
143	Historical Monuments at Thatta	"
25	Djoudj National Bird Sanctuary	Senegal
21	Aleppo	Syrian Arab Republic

15. The Committee furthermore decided not to inscribe the following ten sites on the World Heritage List :

<u>No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>State Party</u>
104	Church of Orosi	Costa Rica
105	National Monument at San Jose	" "
108	National Theatre	" "
110	Church of Nicoya	" "
123	Kainji Lake National Park	Nigeria
56	Valley of Heidal	Norway
57	Kjerringøy Trading Centre	"
60	Eidsvoll Building	"
141	Archaeological ruins at Harappa	Pakistan
77	Edison National Historic Site	United States of America

16. After a discussion concerning the nomination presented by the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan regarding "the Old City of Jerusalem and its walls", the following motion was adopted by consensus :

"The World Heritage Committee, at its fourth session, took into consideration the nomination presented by the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan concerning "the Old City of Jerusalem and its walls" in all its cultural and human aspects.

The Committee was in full agreement in appreciating their unique importance in view of the universal values they represent from the religious, historical, architectural and artistic points of view.

The Committee decided to open the established procedure for the examination of this proposal for the inscription of "the Old City of Jerusalem and its walls" on the World Heritage List".

17. Prof. F. Herrera, Chairman of the Administrative Council of the International Fund for the Promotion of Culture addressed the meeting. He outlined the various activities which the Fund has so far supported, a number of which have contributed to the preservation of the cultural heritage. He expressed his support for the aims of the Convention and his willingness to explore the possibilities of mutual collaboration.

VI. CONSIDERATION OF ITEM 7 OF THE AGENDA : THE REVISED TEXT OF THE OPERATIONAL GUIDELINES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE WORLD HERITAGE CONVENTION

18. The Committee reiterated the importance of the Operational Guidelines and emphasized that every measure should be taken to ensure that the resulting guidelines are the best possible and that they reflect the

thorough deliberations which precede each decision taken by the Committee. The insertion in the introduction of a brief paragraph to this effect was recommended by the Committee.

19. The Committee then discussed in detail the Revised Operational Guidelines and made the following modifications :

a) Chapter I, section A, paragraph 5 (ii) should read :

Because of the educational and public information purposes of the World Heritage List, the criteria for the inclusion of properties in the List have been elaborated with a view to enabling the Committee to act with full independence in evaluating the intrinsic merit of a property without regard to any other consideration (including the need for technical co-operation support).

b) Chapter I, section A, paragraph (iii) should read :

The Committee considers it highly desirable for each State Party to submit a tentative list of cultural and natural properties situated in its territory and suitable for inclusion in the World Heritage List to enable it to evaluate within the widest possible context the outstanding universal value of each property nominated to the List.

c) In order to facilitate the implementation of the provision set out in Chapter I, section A, paragraph 5 (vi), ICOMOS and IUCN were invited to present in their future evaluations a brief description of the principal characteristics for which a specific property is recommended for inclusion in the World Heritage List.

d) Chapter I, section B, paragraph 6, second line, the word "provisional" is to be replaced by the word "tentative".

e) Chapter I, section B, paragraph 13 should read :

States Parties may propose in a single nomination a series of cultural properties in different geographical locations, provided that they are related because they belong :

- (i) to the same historico-cultural group or
- (ii) to the same type of property which is characteristic of the geographical zone

and provided that it is the series as such and not its components taken individually, which is of outstanding universal value.

f) Chapter I, section C, paragraph 16 should be amended as follows :

The criteria for the inclusion of cultural properties in the World Heritage List should always be seen in relation to one another and should be considered in the context of the definitions set out in Article 1 of the Convention, the full text of which will be inserted at the beginning of this paragraph.

- g) Chapter I, section C, paragraph 16 (a) (vi) : the following should be added :

The Committee considered that criterion (vi) should justify inclusion in the List only in exceptional circumstances or in conjunction with other criteria.

- h) In Chapter I, section C, paragraph 16, a sentence will be inserted in order to stress that reconstruction is only acceptable if it is carried out on the basis of complete and detailed documentation on the original and to no extent on conjecture.

- i) Chapter I, section C, paragraph 17 (a) should read :

The property, including its state of preservation should be evaluated relatively, that is, it should be compared with other properties of the same type dating from the same period both inside and outside the state party's borders.

- j) In Chapter I, section D, paragraph 18, the full text of the definition set out in Article 2 of the Convention will be quoted at the beginning of this paragraph.

- k) Chapter I, section D, paragraph 18 (i) should read :

be outstanding examples representing the major stages of the earth's evolutionary history.

- l) Chapter I, section D, paragraph 18 (ii) should read :

be outstanding examples representing significant ongoing geological processes, biological evolution and man's interaction with his natural environment. As distinct from the periods of the earth's development, this focuses upon ongoing processes in the development of communities of plants and animals, landforms and marine and freshwater bodies.

- m) Chapter I, section D, paragraph 18 (iii) should read :

contain superlative natural phenomena, formations or features or areas of exceptional natural beauty, such as superlative examples of the most important ecosystems, natural features, spectacles presented by great concentrations of animals, sweeping vistas covered by natural vegetation and exceptional combinations of natural and cultural elements, or

- n) Chapter I, section D, paragraph 18 (iv) should read :

contain the most important and significant natural habitats where threatened species of animals or plants of outstanding universal value from the point of view of science or conservation still survive.

- o) Chapter I, section D, paragraph 19, sub-section (a) (v) should read :

In the case of migratory species, seasonal sites necessary for their survival wherever they are located, should be adequately protected. The Committee must receive assurances that the necessary measures be taken to ensure that the species are adequately protected throughout their full life cycle. Agreements made in this connection, either through adherence to international conventions or in the form of other multilateral or bilateral arrangements would provide this assurance.

- p) A new sub-section (b) should read :

The property should be evaluated relatively, that is, it should be compared with other properties of the same type both inside and outside the state party's borders, within a biogeographic province or migratory pattern.

- q) Chapter I, section F, paragraph 29 (iv) should read :

State of preservation/conservation

- Diagnosis
- Agent responsible for preservation/conservation
- History of preservation/conservation
- Measures for preservation/conservation (including management plans or proposals for such plans)
- Development plans for the region.

- r) Chapter I, section F, paragraph 30 should read :

Each nomination should be accompanied by a two-page summary which will be translated and reproduced by the Secretariat for distribution to members of the Bureau and the Committee.

- s) Chapter I, section G, paragraph 31, 2) (b) should read :

undertakes a professional evaluation of each nomination in terms of the criteria adopted by the Committee and transmits their evaluation to members of the Bureau of the Committee, to the States Parties to the Convention which are concerned and to the Secretariat ;

- t) Chapter I, section G, paragraph 31, (June-July), should read :

The summaries of nominations and the recommendations of the Bureau are transmitted to all States Parties to the Convention.

- u) Chapter I, section G, paragraph 32 should read :

The normal deadlines for the submission and processing of nominations will not apply in the case of properties which, in the opinion of the Bureau after consultation with the competent non-governmental organization, would unquestionably meet the criteria for inclusion in the World Heritage List

and which have suffered damage from disasters caused by natural events or by human activities. Such nominations will be processed on an emergency basis.

20. Working procedures for the evaluation and presentation of nominated properties were discussed throughout the session and a general agreement concerning the content of such procedures was reached. The following text setting out these procedures was proposed :

The following working procedures should apply to evaluations of proposed nominations and their presentation to and discussion by the Committee :

- (i) representatives of a State Party, whether or not a member of the Committee, should not speak to advocate the inclusion in the list of a property situated within the territory of that State except to deal with a point of information in answer to a question ;
- (ii) the manner of the professional evaluation carried out by ICOMOS and IUCN should be fully described in all instances ;
- (iii) each property should be compared with properties of a similar type or dating from the same period inside and outside the State Party's boundaries, and a comparative justification should be given for its proposed inclusion in the List ;
- (iv) it is desirable that wherever possible the professional presentation of the nominated property should include a slide presentation or other graphic presentation. (This is not only useful for making decisions, it also serves an important educational function for members of the Committee since they share responsibility for the propagation of information about properties included in the List).

The Committee asked that the Bureau should examine at its next session these proposals with a view to their incorporation into a forthcoming revision of the Operational Guidelines.

VII. CONSIDERATION OF ITEM 8 OF THE AGENDA : MEASURES TO BE TAKEN TO IMPROVE THE BALANCE BETWEEN THE CULTURAL AND THE NATURAL HERITAGE IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

21. The Committee heard the report of the working group set up to examine measures to improve the balance between the cultural and natural heritage in the implementation of the Convention and agreed with the recommendations set out below :

- 1) Preparatory assistance to States Parties should be granted on a priority basis for :
 - (i) the establishment of tentative lists of cultural and natural properties situated in their territories and suitable for inclusion in the World Heritage List ;
 - (ii) the preparation of nominations of types of properties underrepresented in the World Heritage List.

- 2) States Parties to the Convention should provide the Secretariat with the name and address of the governmental organization(s) primarily responsible for cultural and natural properties so that copies of all official correspondence and documents can be sent by the Secretariat to these focal points as appropriate. All States Parties to the Convention as of 5 September 1980 are asked to provide this information to the Secretariat by 31st December 1980. New States Parties are requested to do so as soon as possible after the deposit of their instrument of ratification acceptance or accession.
- 3) States Parties to the Convention should convene at regular intervals at the national level a joint meeting of those persons responsible for natural and cultural heritage in order that they may discuss matters pertaining to the implementation of the Convention. This does not apply to States Parties where one single organization is dealing with both cultural and natural heritage.
- 4) The Committee, deeply concerned with maintaining a balance in the number of experts from the natural and cultural fields represented on the Bureau urges that every effort be made in future elections in order to ensure that :
 - (i) the chair is not held by persons with expertise in the same field, either cultural or natural, for more than two succeeding years ;
 - (ii) at least two "cultural" and at least two "natural" experts are present at Bureau meetings to ensure balance and credibility in reviewing nominations to the World Heritage List.
- 5) States Parties to the Convention should choose as their representatives persons qualified in the field of natural and cultural heritage thus complying with Article 9, paragraph 3 of the Convention.

VIII. CONSIDERATION OF ITEM 9 OF THE AGENDA : PUBLIC INFORMATION ACTIVITIES

22. The Committee took note of the Report of the Secretariat on public information activities undertaken during the preceding year. The Committee also emphasized the importance of such activities in promoting and implementing the World Heritage Convention.

23. The Committee requested the Secretariat that the royalties on the retail prices for Upsala Ekeby's commemorative Glass and Silverware go directly into the World Heritage Fund, and agreed that 10 % of these royalties (i.e. 2 % on the retail sales price) go to Unesco's Public Liaison Fund to cover expenses incurred through this project and to contribute to future public information activities for the Convention.

24. The representative of the United States of America and the observer from Canada asked the Secretariat to immediately inform Upsala Ekeby of the need to get prior authorization from their respective national authorities to use the name or image of sites under their jurisdiction. Upsala Ekeby should contact the United States and Canadian embassies before proceeding further with designs for the Grand Canyon, Independence Hall, Klwanan/Wrangell St. Elias National Monument and Dinosaur Provincial Park.

25. The Secretariat was invited to examine the possibilities for producing a film on the Convention in collaboration with Member States. The States Parties to the Convention were invited to send the Secretariat lists of all films available concerning World Heritage Sites or regarding the Convention as a whole, indicating in each case, the conditions of copyright and manner of obtaining the films in question. Such a list could then be distributed widely by the Secretariat.

26. States Parties to the Convention were asked to provide the Secretariat with information regarding the distribution of slide series and postcards in their respective countries. It was also suggested that States Parties inform the Secretariat of their desire to host journalist seminars to increase public awareness through the mass media of the aims and scope of the Convention.

27. Member States were invited to keep the Secretariat informed of their efforts at the national level to promote the Convention. The Committee underlined the crucial importance of such activities for making the aims of the Convention more widely known in order to receive public support for its implementation and for education purposes.

28. In this connection the observer from Canada mentioned that four World Heritage Plaque unveiling ceremonies had taken place so far in his country. This kind of activity would be one very effective way of arousing a high degree of public interest in the Convention. Canada would be glad to share her experience in the preparation and holding of such ceremonies and other promotional activities with other States Parties. Canada will be happy to provide detailed information upon request.

29. The promotional and educational activities of the Australian Heritage Commission were presented to the Committee as an example of the efforts of States Parties to promote the Convention. The Commission is preparing a film, a major illustrated book on heritage sites in Australia and a travelling exhibition. In addition, the Commission has recently published a kit for use in secondary schools throughout Australia, a copy of which was presented to the Committee. The Australian delegate mentioned that the kit included a section on the Convention and that the material being produced by the Secretariat, in particular the Unesco Courier issue on the World Heritage Convention and the slide series could be incorporated in the kit.

IX. CONSIDERATION OF ITEM 6 OF THE AGENDA : PROTECTION OF THE WORLD HERITAGE EMBLEM AND OF THE NAME OF THE WORLD HERITAGE FUND

30. The Secretariat informed the Committee that it had carefully explored the various means available to protect the emblem and the name of the World Heritage Fund.

31. Possibilities for such protection exist in a number of countries within the framework of the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention and national legislation. In noting this report the Committee decided to include in the operational guidelines the following recommendation :

Nations party to the Convention should take all possible measures to prevent the use of the emblem of the Convention and the use of the name of the Committee and the Convention in their respective countries by any group or for any purposes not explicitly recognized and approved by the Committee.

X. CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS 5 AND 10 OF THE AGENDA : TECHNICAL CO-OPERATION REQUESTS, EXAMINATION OF THE STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS OF THE WORLD HERITAGE FUND AND ADOPTION OF A BUDGET FOR 1981

32. The Committee heard the report of the working group set up to examine the interim statement of accounts and technical co-operation requests and to prepare a budget for September 1980 to December 1981.

33. The Committee took note of document CC-80/CONF. 016/7 which includes the interim statement of accounts of the World Heritage Fund for the period 1 January 1979-31 July 1980. It also noted the surplus in the World Heritage Fund amounting to 1,463,832.61 \$ as of 31 July this year. In view of this favorable financial situation the Committee adopted a budget for the period 1 September 1980 to 31 December 1981 amounting to 1,423,000 \$.

34. The Committee upon recommendation of the Bureau approved the following technical co-operation requests :

<u>Nepal - Sagarmatha National Park</u> (request no. 120.1)	\$ 75,000
<u>Tunisia - Baths of Antonius at Carthage</u> (request no. 37.1 and addendum)	\$ 118,000
<u>Zaire - Virunga National Park</u> (request no. 63.1)	\$ 43,660
<u>Garamba National Park</u> (request no. 126.1)	\$ 19,120
<u>Kahuzi-Biega National Park</u> (request no. 137.1)	\$ 15,120
<u>Ethiopia - Lalibela</u> (request no. 18.1)	\$ 79,800

35. The Committee as requested by Tanzania approved an additional 7,000 \$ for the preparation of a management plan for the Ngorongoro Conservation Area.

36. The following two requests were submitted to the Secretariat during the fourth session of the Committee :

<u>Ecuador - The Historic Center of Quito</u>	\$ 25,000
<u>Panama - The fortifications on the Caribbean side of Panama : Portobelo-San Lorenzo</u>	\$ 51,000

37. The Committee authorized the Chairman of the Committee to approve these two requests in consultation with the other members of the Bureau subject to the outcome of a technical review by the Secretariat and the professional non-governmental organizations concerned.

38. The Committee decided to ask the Bureau to elaborate guidelines for the evaluation of technical co-operation requests which could be then reviewed and adopted by the Committee, and would be included in the operational guidelines.

39. The Committee urged States Parties to indicate in their future technical co-operation requests, details of their counterpart contribution as well as other contributions from bilateral or multilateral sources made or planned for the conservation of the property in question.

40. The representative of the United States of America expressed reservations on the continued need for temporary assistance to the Secretariat for the implementation of the Convention and abstained on the relevant item of the budget. In this respect the Secretariat drew attention to the fact that the proposed increase for temporary assistance is in proportion far smaller than the overall increase in the budget which corresponds to a considerable increase in the workload. The Secretariat further indicated that services to the Convention provided directly from the regular programme of Unesco could be estimated approximately at 250,000 \$ per year.

41. The Committee, under the budgetary line for programme support for ICOMOS, included 7,000 \$ for carrying out thematic studies.

42. The Committee shared the point of view expressed by the French delegate that assistance provided by the World Heritage Fund should not be considered solely as complementary or residual contribution to bilateral or multilateral co-operation programmes, but that this assistance should be used more often to launch programmes of this type.

43. The Committee adopted the following budget for the period September 1980 to December 1981.

B U D G E T
September 1980-December 1981

<u>Activities</u>	<u>Funds brought forward from 1979-1980</u>	<u>Additional funds allocated</u>	<u>Total funds authorized for the period September 1980- December 1981</u>
	\$	\$	\$
I. Preparatory assistance	58,202	111,798	170,000
II. Technical co-operation	55,150	389,850	445,000
III. Training	36,530	298,470	335,000
IV. Emergency assistance	70,000	150,000	220,000
V. Promotional activities	34,300	51,700	86,000
VI. Programme support			
. ICOMOS	-	50,000	50,000
. IUCN	-	25,000	25,000
VII. Temporary assistance to the Secretariat	1,624	90,376	92,000
	<u>255,806</u> =====	<u>1.167,194</u> =====	<u>1.423,000</u> =====

Contingencies :
3 % of funds authorized.

XI. WORLD HERITAGE FUND

44. The Committee examined a proposal made by one of its members, presented as follows :

"Contributions offered to the World Heritage Fund for international assistance campaigns and other Unesco projects of technical co-operation for any property inscribed on the World Heritage List shall be accepted and used as international assistance pursuant to Section V of the Convention and in conformity with the modalities established for carrying out the campaign or project".

45. The Committee adopted this proposal.

46. The Committee further agreed with the following recommendations :

- a) States Parties to the Convention who anticipate making contributions towards international assistance campaigns or other Unesco projects of technical co-operation for any property inscribed on the World Heritage List are encouraged to make their contributions through the World Heritage Fund.
- b) Additionally, the World Heritage Committee encourages the General Conference of Unesco to give great attention in future campaigns to sites which are on the World Heritage List.

XII. THEME STUDIES

47. The Representatives of IUCN and ICOMOS presented their point of view on this important topic. The Committee noted with satisfaction IUCN's plans for the preparation of a worldwide inventory of natural sites through worldwide distribution of questionnaires and organisation of a series of expert meetings during the next two years.

48. The Committee discussed the request of IUCN to establish a sub-committee for in depth review of and guidance on the preparation of inventories as well as on theme studies for comparative analysis. The Committee felt that this matter should be discussed further by the Bureau.

49. The Committee welcomed the offer made by IUCN to assist States Parties to the extent possible in the preparation of tentative lists and to carry out an in depth analysis based on such lists. The Committee agreed that a strong effort should be made to encourage States Parties to prepare tentative lists, which ICOMOS offered to analyze on a comparative basis to assist the Committee's considerations of nominations to the World Heritage List.

50. The Committee shared the view of ICOMOS that global theme studies on cultural properties would not be feasible at the present time for various reasons. However, it was felt that special thematic studies for problem areas identified in the process of evaluating nominations may have to be conducted by ICOMOS and, if necessary, with support from the World Heritage Fund.

XIII. REPORT TO THE GENERAL CONFERENCE

51. The Secretariat presented the draft of the report to be submitted by the Committee to the General Conference, pointing out that this document would have to be completed in accordance with the decisions made by the Committee at its fourth session. The Committee was informed that this report would be submitted to the programme commission on Culture and Communication as well as the programme commission on Science of the General Conference. The Committee adopted the draft report as presented and requested the Secretariat to incorporate the decisions taken at the present session.

XIV. OTHER MATTERS

52. His Excellency, Mr. Camille Aboussouan, the Ambassador at Unesco of Lebanon informed the Committee that his country will ratify the World Heritage Convention in the near future. He addressed the attention of the Committee to the need to protect the archaeological and cultural sites and monuments of the city of Tyr in conformity with the stipulations of the Hague Convention and referred in this respect to resolution S/RES/459 (1979) of the United Nations Security Council.

53. The Committee examined a proposed design for World Heritage Certificates and authorized the Chairman to approve a final design according to his judgment.

54. The representative of Australia, stated that his government was looking into the possibility of hosting the fifth session of the World Heritage Committee in Australia during the second half of 1981. He hoped to be in the position to provide firm advice on this matter in the near future. The Committee thanked the Delegate of Australia for this generous offer.

ANNEX I

Message from the Prime Minister of France, Mr. Raymond Barre

I would like to welcome the participants of the fourth session of the World Heritage Committee. The French Government is honored that this meeting, which brings together the leading specialists in historical monuments and natural sites, is being held in 1980 in France. At the request of the President of the Republic, 1980 is in fact Heritage Year in our country. It is marked by numerous activities initiated by the State, local communities and associations for preserving and enhancing the illustrious and more humble legacies of our people's past. It is fortunate that Heritage Year offers the opportunity for this international event, held under the auspices of Unesco. I wish the Committee every success in its work, which will strengthen the will of all countries to join together in safeguarding the elements of the world heritage.

ANNEX II

Agenda

1. Opening of the session.
2. Adoption of the agenda.
3. Election of the Chairman, Vice-Chairmen and Rapporteur.
4. Nominations to the World Heritage List.
5. Technical co-operation requests.
6. Protection of the World Heritage Emblem and of the name of the World Heritage Fund.
7. Revised text of the "Operational Guidelines for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention".
8. Measures to be taken to improve the balance between the cultural and the natural heritage in the implementation of the Convention.
9. Public information activities.
10. Consideration of Statement of accounts of the World Heritage Fund and adoption of a budget for 1981.
11. Consideration of the Report of the Rapporteur on the fourth session of the Bureau.
12. Other business.
13. Closure of the session.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS/LISTE DES PARTICIPANTS

I. STATES MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE/ETATS MEMBRES DU COMITE

ALGERIA/ALGERIE

M. Khelifa ABDICHE
Conseiller auprès de l'Ambassade de la République algérienne à Paris
Délégation permanente de la République algérienne
démocratique et populaire auprès de l'Unesco

ARGENTINA/ARGENTINE

Professeur Nelida NEGRI
Adjointe au Service culturel de l'Ambassade de
l'Argentine en France

AUSTRALIA/AUSTRALIE

Alternate Heads of Delegation :

H. Exc. Prof. R.O. SLATYER
Ambassador, Permanent Delegate of Australia to Unesco

M. D.G.D. YENCKEN
Chairman, Australian Heritage Commission

Representatives :

Ms. Barbara BARRY de LONGCHAMP
Deputy Permanent Delegate of Australia to Unesco

M. Gary F. QUINLAN
Deputy Permanent Delegate of Australia to Unesco

BULGARIA/BULGARIE

M. Haralampi ANITCHKIN
Architect Restorer at the National Institute
for Monuments

ECUADOR/EQUATEUR

M. Rodrigo PALLARES
Directeur, Institut du Patrimoine culturel

EGYPT/EGYPTE

Dr. Shohata ADAM
President, Organization of Egyptian Antiquities

FRANCE

M. Michel PARENT
Inspecteur général des monuments historiques

M. Jean-Pierre BADY
Directeur, Caisse nationale des monuments historiques
et des sites

M. Lucien CHABASSON
Chef du Service de l'Espace et des Sites
Direction de l'Urbanisme et des Paysages
Ministère de l'Environnement et du Cadre de vie

M. Jean-Louis BALANDRAUD
Direction de l'Urbanisme et des Paysages
Service des Sites
Ministère de l'Environnement et du Cadre de Vie

Ms. Mireille JARDIN
Chargée de mission
Direction des affaires économiques et internationales
Ministère de l'Environnement et du Cadre de Vie

GHANA

M. Joseph ADUSEI
Deputy Permanent Delegate of Ghana to Unesco

IRAQ/IRAK

M. Mohammed Ali ALWAHAB
Conseiller culturel adjoint auprès de l'Ambassade
d'Irak à Paris

ITALY/ITALIE

M. Italo ANGLE
Chef du Bureau d'Etudes au Ministère des Biens culturels

Ms. Lola GOUJON
Assistante du Délégué permanent
Délégation permanente de l'Italie auprès de l'Unesco

NEPAL

H. Exc. Mr. Krishna ARYAL
Ambassador
Permanent Delegate of Nepal to Unesco

NIGERIA

M. Muhammad MUSA
Counsellor, Permanent Delegation of Nigeria to Unesco

PAKISTAN

M. Aftab HUSSAIN
First Secretary
Permanent Delegation of Pakistan to Unesco

M. Halim Muhammad AEDUL
Assistant Superintendent of Archaeology

PANAMA

Ms. Reina TORRES DE ARAUZ
National Director Historical Heritage

Ms. Mirta SAAVEDRA POLO
Premier Secrétaire
Délégation permanente du Panama auprès de l'Unesco

SENEGAL

M. Amadou Lamine SY
Directeur du Patrimoine national
Ministère de la Culture

M. Henri MENDY
Conseiller
Délégation permanente du Sénégal auprès de l'Unesco

SUDAN/SOUDAN

S. Exc. M. Beshir BAKRI
Ambassadeur du Soudan en France

Dr. Alfatih HAMAD
Délégué permanent du Soudan auprès de l'Unesco

SWITZERLAND/SUISSE

M. Ernest MARTIN
Commission fédérale des Monuments historiques

TUNISIA/TUNISIE

M. Azedine BESCHAOUCH
Directeur général de l'Institut national d'Art
et d'Archéologie

Ms. Hédia BACCAR
Attachée de Cabinet au Ministère de l'Agriculture

Ms. Mounira RIAHI
Sous-Directeur de l'Institut national d'Art
et d'Archéologie

M. Hédi EL AYES
Délégation permanente de la Tunisie auprès de l'Unesco

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA/ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

M. David HALES (Head of Delegation)
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Fish, Wildlife
and Parks
Department of the Interior

M. Robert R. GARVEY Jr. (Alternate)
Executive Director - Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

M. Robert RITSCH (Alternate)
Associate Director, Natural Programs, Heritage Conservation and
Recreation Service
Department of the Interior

M. T. Elkin TAYLOR
Deputy Permanent Representative
Permanent Delegation of the United States of America
to Unesco

M. Howard HARDY
Attaché for Culture and Communication
Permanent Delegation of the United States of America
to Unesco

YUGOSLAVIA/YOUGOSLAVIE

Dr. Milan PRELOG
Professeur à l'Université de Zagreb

II. OBSERVERS FROM OTHER STATES PARTIES/OBSERVATEURS D'AUTRES ETATS
PARTIES

BRAZIL/BRESIL

Prof. Aloisio MAGALHAES
Directeur général du Secrétariat pour le
Patrimoine historique et artistique national (SPHAN)

M. Gustavo MESQUITA de SIQUEIRA
Troisième Secrétaire
Délégation permanente du Brésil auprès de l'Unesco

CANADA

M. Peter H. BENNETT
Adviser to Deputy Minister Parks Canada on
Unesco World Heritage Convention

COSTA RICA

M. José A. RODRIGUEZ
Premier délégué adjoint
Délégation permanente du Costa Rica auprès de l'Unesco

M. Bernardo CHAVEZ-CALVO
Troisième Secrétaire
Délégation permanente du Costa Rica auprès de l'Unesco

CYPRUS/CHYPRE

M. Christos CASSIMATIS
Deputy Permanent Delegate of Cyprus to Unesco

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY/REPUBLIQUE FEDERALE D'ALLEMAGNE

Dr. Magnus BACKES
Conservateur des Monuments historiques de Bavière/Munich

HAITI

M. Joseph Antoine Emmanuel GUERRIER
Ministre Conseiller, Délégué permanent adjoint d'Haïti
auprès de l'Unesco

HONDURAS

S. Exc. M. Carlos DEAMBROSIS-MARTINS
Délégué permanent du Honduras auprès de l'Unesco

JORDAN/JORDANIE

S. Exc. M. Taher N. MASRI
Ambassador
Permanent Delegate of the Hashemite Kingdom
of Jordan to Unesco

M. Raif Yousef NIJEM
Director of Engineering Office
Yarmouk University

M. Ahmad AU-TAWIL
Deputy Permanent Delegate of the Hashemite Kingdom
of Jordan to Unesco

MEXICO/MEXIQUE

M. Ricardo MEMES R.
Chef, Bureau des Affaires internationales
Institut national d'Anthropologie et d'Histoire

M. Rodolfo ULLOA FLORES
Secrétaire technique
Institut national d'Anthropologie et d'Histoire

NORWAY/NORVEGE

M. Harald BRAUTASET
Deputy Permanent Delegate of Norway to Unesco

POLAND/POLOGNE

M. Krzysztof PAWLOWSKI
Directeur adjoint
Direction générale des Musées et des Monuments historiques

SAUDI ARABIA/ARABIE SAOUDITE

M. Mahdi EL-JAMED
Deputy Permanent Delegate of Saudi Arabia to Unesco

SOCIALIST PEOPLE'S LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA/JAMAHIRIYA ARABE LIBYENNE
POPULAIRE ET SOCIALISTE

M. Brayek A. JITEILI
Comptroller of Antiquities
Department of Antiquities

ZAIRE

M. Makeli BOGUO
Délégué permanent adjoint du Zaïre auprès de l'Unesco

III. ORGANIZATIONS ATTENDING IN AN ADVISORY CAPACITY/ORGANISATIONS PARTICIPANT AVEC UN STATUT CONSULTATIF

INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL ON MONUMENTS AND SITES (ICOMOS)/CONSEIL INTERNATIONAL DES MONUMENTS ET DES SITES

M. Ernest A. CONNALLY
Secretary General

M. Léon PRESSOUYRE
Professeur à l'Université de Paris I

M. François LEBLANC
Directeur du Secrétariat

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR CONSERVATION OF NATURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES/UNION INTERNATIONALE POUR LA CONSERVATION DE LA NATURE ET DE SES RESSOURCES

M. Harold EIDSVIK
Executive Officer
Commission on National Parks and Protected Areas

IV. OTHER INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS/AUTRES ORGANISATIONS INTERNATIONALES

ARAB EDUCATIONAL, CULTURAL AND SCIENTIFIC ORGANIZATION/ORGANISATION ARABE POUR L'EDUCATION, LA CULTURE ET LA SCIENCE

M. Ibrahim CHABBOUH
Expert-Responsable
Secteur du Patrimoine

M. Mongi BEN AMOR
Délégué de l'ALECSO auprès de l'Unesco

V. UNESCO SECRETARIAT/SECRETARIAT DE L'UNESCO

M. Michel BATISSE
Sous-Directeur général adjoint
Secteur des Sciences

M. Gérard BOLLIA
Sous-Directeur général adjoint
Secteur de la Culture et de la Communication

M. D. de SAN
Chef
Division des Normes internationales

M. Bernd von DROSTE
Division des Sciences écologiques

Ms. Anne BAIDL
Division du Patrimoine culturel

Ms. Maria CILIA
Chargée de liaison
Secteur de la Coopération pour le Développement
des Relations extérieures

Ms. Jeanne BRODY
Consultant, Division du Patrimoine culturel

Ms. Jane ROBERTSON
Consultant, Division des Sciences écologiques